ISSN 1682-8356 ansinet.org/ijps



# POULTRY SCIENCE



308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com

# Internal and External Carriage of Inoculated Salmonella in Broiler Chickens<sup>1</sup>

J.A. Cason, R.J. Buhr, L.J. Richardson and N.A. Cox USDA/ARS, Russell Research Center, Athens, GA 30605, USA

Abstract: External and internal persistence of inoculated *Salmonella* and spread to uninoculated broiler chicks in the same pens were studied by sampling ceca and rinses of feathered carcasses in two experiments. Half of the day-old chicks in pens were orally inoculated with a nalidixic-acid-resistant strain of *Salmonella* Typhimurium at three levels of inoculum (0.1 mL delivering approximately 4 X 10<sup>2</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>, or 10<sup>6</sup> cfu). At 3, 6, and 8 weeks of age, equal numbers of inoculated and non-inoculated pen mates were individually electrocuted and rinsed in 400 mL of diluent, after which ceca were removed aseptically, with a total of 654 chickens sampled in the two experiments. There were no differences in *Salmonella* incidence between inoculated and non-inoculated birds at any age, so the marker *Salmonella* was well distributed within pens. Total incidence was 70%, 86%, and 83% at the 10<sup>2</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>, and 10<sup>6</sup> inoculum levels, respectively. Considering both cecal and rinse samples, incidence was 81%, 84%, and 72% at 3, 6, and 8 weeks of age respectively. There were 95 positives in the cecal samples only, 149 positives in the rinses only, and 277 positives in both ceca and rinse samples, so sampling either ceca or carcass rinses alone underestimated the total incidence of the marker *Salmonella*.

Key words: Salmonella, colonization, external carriage, internal carriage

# Introduction

Day-old chicks are highly susceptible to intestinal colonization by Salmonella bacteria at relatively low challenge doses (Cox et al., 1990). The process of flock colonization by Salmonella is thought to involve a few chicks, called "seeder birds," that become colonized and then produce high numbers of Salmonella in their intestinal tracts. The non-colonized pen-mates are then exposed to high numbers of Salmonella in the feces of the seeder birds, resulting in increasing numbers of colonized chicks within the flock (Cox et al., 1996, 1998). Typical flock colonization with Salmonella is thought to peak at three or four weeks of age, after which the rate of intestinal colonization declines, although incidence of Salmonella in environmental and feather samples may increase due to accumulated fecal contamination (Linton et al., 1985).

Incidence of Salmonella is highly variable, with positive and negative flocks and many negative birds within flocks that are Salmonella positive. It is not surprising if any short-term survey of Salmonella in poultry flocks finds an incidence of 0%, 100%, or any number between the extremes. Although personnel in our laboratories have years of experience in culturing Salmonella from tissues or environmental samples from poultry farms, there was a recent period of approximately 6 months when an unusually low number of on-farm samples were positive even though many birds, farms, and houses were sampled in a variety of ways including rinses of whole feathered carcasses. The purpose of the experiments reported here was to challenge broiler chicks on a research farm with a marker strain of

Salmonella to see if colonization in the ceca and carriage of Salmonella on the exterior of birds followed patterns seen in previous work.

# **Materials and Methods**

For each experiment, one-day-old Cobb X Cobb males from the female broiler breeder line were obtained from a commercial hatchery and transported to a research farm. Birds (40 per pen in Experiment 1 and 34 per pen in Experiment 2) were placed in floor pens. Litter in the pens had been used previously, but not with nalidixic acid-resistant strains of *Salmonella*. Each pen was set up to simulate a commercial broiler house with nipple drinkers, pan feeders, and bird density similar to that seen in industry.

In both experiments, half of the birds in each pen were wing banded and orally inoculated with 0.1ml of a suspension of nalidixic acid-resistant *Salmonella* Typhimurium on the day of placement. Calculated inoculum levels were 10<sup>2</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>, or 10<sup>6</sup> cells. Actual counts of the inoculum indicated that approximately 380, 38,000, or 3,800,000 cells were delivered in Experiment 1 and 490, 49,000, or 4,900,000 in Experiment 2.

At 3, 6, and 8 weeks of age in both experiments, birds from each pen (n=10; half inoculated and half non-inoculated) were sampled for the presence of inoculated *Salmonella*. A total of 654 birds were sampled in the two experiments. Each bird was euthanized by electrocution and placed into a plastic bag. Carcasses were rinsed by shaking for 1 min in 400 ml of distilled water, after which ceca were removed aseptically from each carcass and bagged. Ten ml of the carcass rinse sample was added

Table 1: Percentage of Salmonella-positive ceca and whole carcass rinse samples from broiler chicks sampled at 3, 6, and 8 weeks of age after inoculation of half of the chicks in each pen with approximately 10<sup>2</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>, or 10<sup>8</sup> cfu at one day of age in Experiments 1 and 2 (n = 654)

Age	Approximate inoculum level	Inoculated chicks		Uninoculated chicks		
		Ceca	Rinse	Ceca	Rinse	Mean
		(% Salmonella positive)				
3 weeks	<b>10</b> <sup>2</sup>	58	55	62	58	58
	10⁴	60	80	75	65	70
	10 <sup>8</sup>	60	68	65	78	68
6 weeks	<b>10</b> <sup>2</sup>	58	58	52	52	55
	10⁴	66	79	55	75	69
	10 <sup>6</sup>	61	74	56	90	70
8 weeks	10 <sup>2</sup>	40	33	40	50	41
	10⁴	47	80	50	50	57
	10 <sup>6</sup>	53	63	57	50	56

to 90 ml of 1% buffered peptone (BP). Ceca and rinse samples were incubated overnight at 37°C after which Brilliant Green Sulfa agar (BGS) plates containing 200 ppm nalidixic acid were streaked with a loop from each incubated sample. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C and then the presence of marker Salmonella was evaluated on a qualitative basis. Approximate enumeration was also performed on the original samples utilizing a 3-swab method described in Blanchfield et al. (1984), a method faster than counting colonies, but with a higher standard deviation. Two swabs were immersed directly into the original samples and streaked directly on BGS + nalidixic acid plates or diluted in 10 ml of BP and then streaked. A third swab was dipped in the dilution blank and streaked. Plates were incubated overnight at 37° C. Ceca were weighed, diluted in 1% BP equal to three times the weight of the sample, and crushed with a rubber mallet. Ten ml of the original sample was also placed in 90 ml of BP and incubated overnight, followed by streaking on BGS plates as described above. Counts of bacteria were converted to log<sub>10</sub> (cfu/ml) Statistical analysis was done using analysis of variance and Chi Square tests in SAS (SAS Institute, 2000).

## **Results and Discussion**

Approximately 80% of all samples were *Salmonella* positive, so the marker strain was a better colonizer than anticipated. Cecal colonization occurred to a high degree in both experiments and uninoculated penmates of the challenged birds were also colonized, resulting in a high level of *Salmonella* contamination. No difference in incidence or numbers of the marker *Salmonella* was found between the two experiments or between inoculated birds and non-inoculated pen mates in samples taken at 3, 6, and 8 weeks of age, so the marker *Salmonella* was well distributed within pens (Table 1). Total incidence was 70%, 86%, and 83% at the 10², 10⁴, and 10⁶ inoculum levels, respectively, indicating that inoculum level was not a major factor as long as the challenge level was high enough to

establish colonization in the flock. Considering both cecal and feathered carcass rinse samples, incidence was 81%, 84%, and 72% at 3, 6, and 8 weeks of age respectively. The pattern usually reported for *Salmonella* colonization was seen in the trends toward a lower level of colonization at the lowest challenge dose and a lower incidence in 8-week-old birds versus 3-week-olds, but the differences were not significant.

Jarolmen et al. (1976) reported that incidence of inoculated, nalidixic acid-resistant Salmonella Infantis, S. Enteritidis, and S. Typhimurium in droppings of control chicks challenged at one or six days of age remained high until at least six weeks of age, although numbers dropped off sharply within one or two weeks after inoculation. Inoculum levels in those experiments were 6.0 log<sub>10</sub> cfu at one day of age and 9.0 log<sub>10</sub> cfu at 6 days of age. In the present experiment, chicks were inoculated with approximately 2, 4, and 6 log<sub>10</sub> cfu at one day of age, so one treatment was about four orders of magnitude lower than in Jarolmen et al. (1976), one was two orders of magnitude lower, and another was about the same. In the two experiments reported in Jarolmen et al. (1976), 4% and 100% of the birds were orally challenged versus 50% in the present experiment. Whole carcass rinses were not performed in those experiments, however.

Mean numbers of *Salmonella* found in the positive cecal samples across both experiments and all three sampling ages were  $2.0 \log_{10}$  (cfu/ml) in the ceca of inoculated birds versus 1.8 in the ceca of non-inoculated pen mates. Whole carcass rinse means were  $1.8 \log_{10}$  (cfu/ml) in whole carcass rinses of both inoculated and non-inoculated birds. Counts of marker *Salmonella* in feces of three-week-old chicks were approximately  $2.0 \log_{10}$  (cfu/g) with means of about 1.0 in later weeks, so the numbers recovered from intestinal contents were in the same range as in the present experiment even though incidence remained high versus the declining incidence after three weeks of age reported in Jarolmen *et al.* (1976).

There were 95 positives in the cecal samples only, 149 positives in the external rinses only, and 277 positives in both ceca and rinse samples, so sampling ceca or rinses alone underestimated the total incidence of the marker *Salmonella*. Numerous publications have indicated higher incidence of *Salmonella* in external samples of broiler chickens than in internal samples. In studies that compared incidence of *Salmonella* in whole carcass rinses of feathered carcasses to incidence in cecal and intestinal samples, external incidence was more than twice as great as internal incidence (McBride *et al.*, 1980; Rigby *et al.*, 1980, 1982; Line, 2002).

The incidence of Salmonella on the exterior of carcasses is of interest because Salmonella bacteria on the exterior of birds may be a more important source of later contamination than Salmonella carried in the intestinal tract (Rigby et al., 1980, 1982; Izat et al., 1989, 1990; Trampel et al., 2000). Inoculating bacteria on the exterior of test carcasses has been shown to cause more cross-contamination during processing than inoculating bacteria directly into the cloaca (Mulder et al., 1978).

The results of the work conducted at the experimental farm indicated that marker *Salmonella* colonized both inoculated chicks and non-inoculated penmates just as easily as in previous experiments. The earlier period in which it was difficult to find *Salmonella* on commercial farms was probably caused by unknown conditions or was a statistical anomaly.

## References

- Blanchfield, B., S. Stavric, T.M. Gleeson and H. Pivnick, 1984. Minimum intestinal inoculum for Nurmi cultures and a new method for determining competitive exclusion of *Salmonella* from chicks. J. Food Prot., 47: 542-545.
- Cox, N.A., J.S. Bailey and M.E. Berrang, 1996. Alternative routes for Salmonella intestinal tract colonization of chicks. J. Appl. Poult. Sci.,5: 282-288.
- Cox, N.A., J.S. Bailey and M.E. Berrang, 1998. Bactericidal treatment of hatching eggs I. Chemical immersion treatments and Salmonella. J. Appl. Poult. Sci., 7: 347-350.
- Cox, N.A., J.S. Bailey, L.C. Blankenship, R.J. Meinersmann, N.J. Stern and F. McHan, 1990. Fifty percent colonization dose for *Salmonella typhimurium* administered orally and intracloacally to young broiler chicks. Poult. Sci., 69: 1809-1812.

- Izat, A.L., M. Colberg, M.H. Adams, M.A. Reiber and P.W. Waldroup, 1989. Production and processing studies to reduce the incidence of *Salmonellae* on commercial broilers. J. Food Prot., 52: 670-673.
- Izat, A.L, N.M. Tidwell, R.A. Thomas, M.A. Reiber, M.H. Adams, M. Colberg and P.W. Waldroup, 1990. Effects of a buffered propionic acid in diets on the performance of broiler chickens and on microflora of the intestine and carcass. Poult. Sci., 69: 818-826
- Jarolmen, H., R.J. Sairk and B.F. Langworth, 1976. Effect of tetracycline feeding on the *Salmonella* reservoir in chicks. J. Appl. Bact., 40: 153-161.
- Line, J.E., 2002. Campylobacter and Salmonella populations associated with chickens raised on acidified litter. Poult. Sci., 81: 1473-1477.
- Linton, A.H., Z.A.M. Al-Chalaby and M.H. Hinton, 1985. Natural subclinical salmonella infection in chickens: a potential model for testing the effects of various procedures on salmonella shedding. Vet. Rec., 116: 361-364.
- McBride, G.B., B.J. Skura, R.Y. Yada and E.J. Bowmer, 1980. Relationship between incidence of *Salmonella* contamination among pre-scalded, eviscerated, and post-chilled chickens in a poultry processing plant. J. Food Prot., 43: 538-542.
- Mulder, R.W.A.W., L.W.J. Dorresteijn and J. van der Broek, 1978. Cross-contamination during the scalding and plucking of broilers. Br. Poult. Sci., 19: 61-70.
- Rigby, C.E., J.R. Pettit, M.F. Baker, A.H. Bentley, M.O. Salomons and H. Lior, 1980. Flock infection and transport as sources of salmonellae in broiler chickens and carcasses. Can. J. Comp. Med., 44: 328-337.
- Rigby, C.E., J.R. Pettit, A.H. Bentley, J.L. Spencer, M.O. Salomons and H. Lior, 1982. The relationships of salmonellae from infected broiler flocks, transport crates or processing plants to contamination of eviscerated carcasses. Can. J. Comp. Med., 46: 272-278.
- SAS Institute, 2000. SAS/STAT7 User's Guide. Version 8. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
- Trampel, D.W., R.J. Hasiak, L.J. Hoffman and M.C. Debey, 2000. Recovery of *Salmonella* from water, equipment, and carcasses in turkey processing plants. J. Appl. Poult. Res., 9: 29-34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.