ISSN 1682-8356 ansinet.org/ijps



POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSImet

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com

Prevalence of Antibiotic Resistance among Escherichia coli from Different Sources in Malaysia

N. Alhaj¹, N.S. Mariana¹, A.R. Raha² and Z. Ishak³
¹Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine and Heath Sciences,
Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang Selangor, Malaysia
²Department of Bioprocess Technology, Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences,
Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang Selangor, Malaysia
³Biotechnology Center, Mardi, P.O. Box 12301, General Post Office, 50774, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Abstract: Antimicrobial agent resistance has been recognized as an emerging worldwide problem in both human and animals, antimicrobial agent use is considered the most important factor for the emergence, selection and dissemination of antimicrobial agent-resistant bacteria, intrinsically either acquires the resistance gene from other bacterial environment or development of pumping out mechanism. The aim of this study was to generate baseline data on the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolates from different sources. Seventy E. *coli* isolates from humans and environments were tested for susceptibility to 10 antimicrobial agents by diffusion method. Resistance was found in 61.2% of the isolates. The most prevalent resistances were to kanamycin and tetracycline (81.4%), followed by chloramphenicol (75.7%) and gentamicin, (74.3%). The low prevalent were to cefetoxin (44.3%), norofluoxacin (27.1%) and ciprofluoxacin (24.3%). This study showed the distribution of antimicrobial agent resistance in *E. coli* isolates from a variety of sources and analysis of such patterns of resistance may prove to be useful beyond simple description. Regarding to the concern of water quality and environmental contamination by human and agricultural waster have increased, it has become increasingly important to develop low-cost screening tools that can be used to identify the most probable source of contamination.

Key words: Escherichia coli, antibiotic resistance, Malaysia

Introduction

Antimicrobial agents are the most important in the treatment of bacterial infections and thus the worldwide increase in antibiotic-resistant bacteria is of major concern and antibiotic use is suggested to be a major risk factor for the development of antibiotic resistance (Neu, 1992; Witte, 1998), along with other factors like the use of antibiotics in animals and humans for control of bacterial infections and may be incorporated into commercial livestock and poultry feed at subtherapeutic doses for growth promotion. In addition, it may contribute to antimicrobial agent resistance in humans acquired through the human food chain (Witte, 1998; Barton, 1998), poor hygienic conditions and overcrowded living conditions. On the other hand, concerns have been raised about the contamination of surface water with resistant bacteria from livestock operations and human septage. Hagedorn et al. (1999) found that livestock contributed more than humans to fecal coliform contamination of surface water and livestock access to surface water parallel with increase the fecal coliform levels by an average of 94%. Antibiotic-resistant bacteria have been isolated from a variety of sources, such as hospitals, domestic sewage, drinking water, rivers and lakes (Kasper and Burgess, 1990; McKeon et al., 1995;

Boon and Cattanach, 1999). The development of resistance is that bacteria in the guts of humans and animals are subjected to different types, concentrations and frequencies of antimicrobial agents. Escherichia coli is the main aerobic commensally bacterial species in the gut flora, unlike other microorganism E. coli able to acquires resistance easily and is commonly found in many different animal species, therefore it is a good bioindicator model for surveillance studies of Antimicrobial Resistance (AR) (Von Baum and Marre, 2005). Several studies have addressed the prevalence of resistant E. coli isolated from the stool (Bonten et al., 1992), the urinary tract (Barrett et al., 2000), or blood (Fluit et al., 2000). However, these studies have varied widely in methodology, in sources and type of population. The main objective of this study, the prevalence and distribution of resistance to antimicrobial drugs among E.coli from different sources was investigated.

Materials and Methods

Sources of sampling: Seventy isolates of *E. coli* were collected from five different sources (20 clinical, 10 marine, 10 river, 10 food and 20 animal).

Isolation of E. coli from water samples: The membrane filtration method was carried out according to instruction by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1986) to isolate E. coli from water samples. In this procedure, water samples were filtered through a sterile, white, grid-marked, 47-mm-diameter membrane (pore size, 0.45±0.02 µm), which retained bacteria. After filtration, the membrane containing the bacteria was placed on a selective differential medium (Chromocult Coliform Agar) (CCA, Merck, Germany) (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1986; Dufour et al., 1981) and incubated at 35°C for 2 h to resuscitate the injured or stressed bacteria and then at 44°C for 22 h. The filter was transferred to CCA agar. After overnight incubation E. coli colonies turned pink or purple on these media.

Identification of E. coli from various sources: Standard methods were used for the enrichment, isolation, identification and biochemical confirmation of E. coli isolates (Clesceri et al., 1998). Upon arrival at the laboratory, culture samples, colonies picked from CCA (surface water samples) were placed in tubes with Luria Broth LB, (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and incubated at 35°C for 24 h. Approximately 10 µL of the turbid broth was streaked onto MacConkey agar and incubated at 35°C for 18 to 20 h. The MacConkey agar plate was examined for red colonies that precipitated bile and had a dark red center. Bacteria from the broth were transferred into tubes for biochemical confirmation by indole, methyl red, voges-proskauer and simmons citrate tests on API E20 (Biomeru. Au). Only the bacterial isolates that were confirmed to be E. coli based on the results of the biochemical tests were selected for antimicrobial agent sensitivity testing. Confirmed isolates were inoculated into new normal saline tubes and incubated until the turbidity was 0.5 McFarland standards (approximately 2 to 3 h).

Antimicrobial agent susceptibility testing: Once a single E. coli isolate was isolated and identified from each sample collected, the standard Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method was used to determine the antimicrobial agent sensitivity profiles of the E. coli isolates (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, 1997; National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, 1999) for 10 antimicrobial agents ampicillin (10 g), Chloramphenicol sulfmethaxzol-trimethoprim (5 µg), tetracycline (5 μg),gentamicin (10 μg), kanamycin (30 μg), cefotaxime (30 µg), noroloxacin (10 µg), ciprofloxacin (10 µg). nalidixic acid (5 µg). These antimicrobial agents were chosen based on their importance in treating human or animal E. coli infections and their use as feed additives to promote growth in animals and because of their ability

to provide diversity for representation of different antimicrobial agent classes (Krumperman, 1983). The broth was diluted in normal saline solution to a density of 0.5 McFarland turbidity standards and Diluted broths were poured onto Mueller-Hinton agar plates. After air, drying, antibiotic discs were placed 30 mm apart and 10 mm away from the edge of the plate. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 to 20 h. The zone of inhibition and resistance was measured, recorded and interpreted according to the recommendation of the disc manufacturer. The breakpoints used to categorize isolates as resistant or not resistant to each antimicrobial agent were those recommended by the (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, 1999). E. coli ATCC 25922 (American Type Culture Collection) was used for quality control.

Data analysis: Associations between different sources and antimicrobial agent resistance (resistant or not resistant) were expressed as ANOVA methods was used to test for significant differences between species groups performed using SPSS, version 14.5 software. (SPSS, Inc., Chicago)

Results

All of the isolated E. coli showed resistance to one or more antibiotics and a pattern of multiple drug resistance was observed among seventy isolates was processed, from which (61.2%) E. coli isolates were retrieved for antimicrobial agent resistance profiling. The highest rates of resistance were against, teteracyclin and kanamycin were the most commonly reported antimicrobial agent (81.4% overall), followed by chloramphenicol (75.7%), gentamicin (74.3%)ampicillin (72.9%). This study showed the distribution of antimicrobial agent resistance in E. coli isolates from a variety of sources and analysis of such patterns of resistance may prove to be useful beyond simple description. While the lowest levels of resistance were seen with ciprofluoxcin and noroflouxacinr respectively.

Discussion

A phenotypic approach for strain identification and strain diversity determination was include, as the approach remains an accepted methods and is compared for the genotypic approach developed in this study. The strain diversity was determined through the antibiotic susceptibility disc diffusion assay of *E. coli* isolates from different sources. The level of antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* observed by (Kaspar et al., 1990) was lower, at 26-46%, in urban water and rural water, (Ogan and Nwiika, 1993) made similar observations. (McKeon et al., 1995) who observed an 87% resistance to at least one antibiotic for the non-faecal and faecal coliforms isolated from groundwater, respectively while, (Parveen et al., 1997)

observed that more than 80% of the E. coli strains isolated from municipal waste and river and estuarine water displayed antibiotic resistance this was higher than our findings. Our study is lower than that reported by (McKeon et al., 1995) who observed an 87% resistance to at least one antibiotic for the non-faecal and faecal coliforms isolated from groundwater and (Parveen et al., 1997) who observed that more than 80% of the E. coli strains isolated from municipal waste and river and estuarine water displayed antibiotic resistance. (O'Brien, 1987) reported an incidence for sulfonamide resistance of between about 85%, ampicillin resistance 72%, tetracycline resistance 60% and trimethoprim resistance between 4% while in our study the highest number of AR bacteria was observed for (tetracycline 81.4%, kanamycin 81.4%, chloramphenico 75.7%, gentamicin 74.3% and ampicillin 72.9%). The total number of isolates showing resistance (tetracycline 81.4%, kanamycin 81.4%), were, as expected, abundant in all the isolates, respectively. Resistance to tetracycline may be conserved in bacterial populations over time, regardless of selection pressure, which might result in an overall increase in resistance over time. The important factors that attributed to prevalence of antibiotic resistance in a population is the antibiotic uses as the major factor. While the another important factor contributing to the development of antibiotic resistance is the dissemination of resistant bacteria or resistance genes from a resistant donor to a susceptible host, which subsequently can either become colonized by these bacteria and/or these bacteria might transfer their resistance genes to bacteria belonging to the intestinal flora of the new host during their passage through the intestinal tract. Additionally, the antibiotic resistance for one and more drugs exhibited by E. coli in this study could have been the result of independent, simultaneous development of resistance to different agents or could have been the result of co-selection of resistance determinants. (Rankin et al., 2002) and (Winokur et al., 2001) found that in Salmonella, cephalosporin resistance is cotransferred with additional resistance markers for chloramphenicol, sulfamethoxazole trimethoprim and tetracycline and that transfer of the plasmid containing the gene, designated CMY-2, between Salmonella and E. coli isolates from food animals and humans. Therefore, given this situation, exposing a bacterial population to one antimicrobial agent may result in resistance to other agents without any prior exposure. The presence of tetracycline, kanamycin and chloramphenicol resistance in E. coli from a variety of sources agrees with findings of other studies on the antimicrobial agent resistance of E. coli from a variety of different sources throughout the world (Erskine et al., 2002; Klein and Bulte, 2003; Schlegelova et al., 2002; Schroeder et al., 2002). The patterns of resistance to the

antimicrobial agents may be due to widespread and lengthy use of tetracycline and other type of antibiotics. Since kanamycin 85%, tetracycline 80% and Chloramphenicol 75% are naturally derived compounds, bacteria can be exposed to these agents in nature and outside any human use for disease treatment, for prophylaxis, or for livestock growth promotion. The sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim (62.9%), the use of this agent was restricted for food animals in the 1980s after a potential threat to human health from residues in foods of animal origin and they are currently approved for use in treating calf scours. These drugs are broadspectrum antimicrobial agents with a history of more than 50 years of veterinary use. Although resistance to sulfonamides is widespread and cross-resistance between sulfonamides is complete, they are considered to be of limited use in treatment of ruminants (Prescott et al., 2000). Sulfonamide use for growth promotion in swine is controversial because of persistent problems with volatile residues in swine carcasses (Prescott et al., 2000; Food Safety and Inspection Service, 2002). The lowest levels of resistance (increased susceptibility) found in this study were the levels of sensitive to the quinoline (ciprofloxacin 24.3% and norofloxacin 27.1%) show high susceptibility of E.coli to this group respectively except the first generation nalidixic acid (68.6%). Not surprisingly, it is believed that reduced susceptibility will lead to increased incidence in resistance (McDonald et al., 2001). The use of fluoroquinolones (ofloxacin and nalidixic acid) has been restricted since the 1990s, after the rapid emergence of resistance to fluoroquinolones after the introduction of enrofloxacin into poultry production in Europe (Engberg et al., 2001). In addition to restrictions on their use, fluoroquinolones were introduced into clinical medicine only 20 years ago, making them relatively new antimicrobial agents and animal populations do not have a long history of exposure to these drugs compared to the history of exposure to other agents, such penicillin or tetracycline. That resistant organisms can be found in places receiving little human impact should not be surprising, since antibiotics are natural products. However, the evidence suggests that human and agricultural activity have a greater impact on the levels of resistant organisms in all environments, from rivers to pastures and between humans influence most rivers largely. Therefore, antibiotic resistant bacteria in those rivers may derive from wastewater effluent as discussed above. In Korea, 53.6% of coliform isolates from the Sumjin river were resistant to at least one antibiotic, e.g., 21.3% to guinolones (Park et al., 2003). Antimicrobial agents are also provided in water to prevent diseases in poultry flocks and in milk replaces to prevent diseases in calves. Antibiotic resistant organisms from the human gastrointestinal tract, as well as unabsorbed antibiotics, can enter the environment via

sewage. Both the resistant microorganisms and antibiotic residues are excreted, entering the sewage system. Although most people consider the environment to be generally safe from contamination with untreated sewage, beaches occur frequently where leakage or overflow into groundwater or natural waters occurs (Harwood et al., 2000). Antibiotic bacteria can be found in almost every place on earth. Moreover, although levels are higher in areas impacted by agriculture and humans, nevertheless, still resistant organisms in far reaches where human impacts are negligible. This should not be surprising since antibiotics are natural microbial products. Those bacteria that live in the environment are subjected to numerous antagonistic effects from plants, fungi and bacteria that produce antimicrobial chemicals in an effort to compete and survive. Ultimately, the use of antibiotics leads to increased antibiotic resistance in humans, animals and the environment.

Conclusion: The distribution of antimicrobial resistant *E. coli* isolates in all sources studied based on phenotypic findings reinforced the wide dissemination of *E. coli* strains. As concerns about water quality and environmental contamination by human and agricultural waster have increased, it has become increasingly important to develop low-cost screening tools that can be used to identify the most probable source of fecal contamination.

References

- Barrett, S.P., M.A. Savage, M.P. Rebec, N. Guyot A andrews and S.B. Shrimpton, 2000. Antibiotic sensitivity of bacteria associated with community-acquired urinary tract infection in Britain. J. Antimicrob. Chemother, 44: 359-365.
- Barton, M.D., 1998. Does the use of antibiotics in animals affect human health? Aust. Vet. J., 76: 177-180.
- Bonten, M., E. Stobberingh, J. Philips and A. Houben, 1992. Antibiotic resistance of *Escherichia coli* in fecal samples of healthy people in two different areas in an industrialized country. Infection, 20: 258-262.
- Boon, P.I. and M. Cattanach, 1999. Antibiotic resistance of native and faecal bacteria isolated from rivers, reservoirs and sewage treatment facilities in Victoria, South-Eastern Australia. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 28: 164-168.
- Clesceri, L.S., A.E. Greenberg and A.D. Eaton (Ed.), 1998. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20th Ed. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Environment Federation, Washington, D.C.

- Dufour, A.P., E.R. Strickland and V.J. Cabelli, 1981.

 Membrane filter method for enumerating

 Escherichia coli. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 41: 11521158.
- Engberg, J., F.M. Aarestrup, D.E. Taylor, P. Gerner-Smidt and I. Nachamkin, 2001. Quinolone and macrolide resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli*: resistance mechanisms and trends in human isolates. Emerg. Infect. Dis., 7: 24-34.
- Erskine, R.J., R.D. Walker, C.A. Bolin, P.C. Bartlett and D.G. White, 2002. Trends in antibacterial susceptibility of mastitis pathogens during a seven-year period. J. Dairy Sci., 85: 1111-1118.
- Fluit, A.C., M.E. Jones, F.J. Schmitz, J. Acar, R. Gupta and J. Verhoef, 2000. Antimicrobial susceptibility and frequency of occurrence of clinical blood isolates in Europe from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program, 1997 and 1998. Clin. Infect. Dis., 30: 454-460.
- Food Safety and Inspection Service 1 March, 2002, posting date. 2000 FSIS National Residue Program data. [Online.] Food Safety and Inspection Service, Washington, D.C http://www.fsis. usda.gov/ophs/red2000/index.htm.
- Hagedorn, C., S.L. Roberson, J.R. Filtz, S.M. Grubbs, T.A. Angier and R.B. Reneau, Jr., 1999. Determining sources of fecal pollution in a rural Virginia watershed with antibiotic resistance patterns in fecal streptococci. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 65: 5522-5531.
- Harwood, V.J., J. Whitlock and V. Withington, 2000. Classification of antibiotic resistance patterns of indicator bacteria by discriminant analysis: use in predicting the source of fecal contamination in subtropical waters. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 66: 3698-3704.
- Kasper, C.W. and J.L. Burgess, 1990. Antibiotic resistance indexing of *Escherichia coli* to identify sources of fecal contamination in water. Can. J. Microbiol., 36: 891-894.
- Klein, G. and M. Bulte, 2003. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli* strains with verocytotoxic *E. coli*-associated virulence factors from food and animal species. Food Microbiol., 20: 27-33.
- Krumperman, P.H., 1983. Multiple antibiotic resistance indexing of *Escherichia coli* to identify high-risk sources of fecal contamination of foods. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 46: 165-170.
- McDonald, L.C., F.J. Chen and H.J. Lo, 2001. Emergence of reduced susceptibility and resistance to fluoroquinolones in *Escherichia coli* in Taiwan and contributions of distinct selective pressure. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother, 45: 3084-3091.
- McKeon, D.M., J.P. Calabrese and G.K. Bissonnette, 1995. Antibiotic resistant gram-negative bacteria in rural ground water supplies. Water Res., 29: 1902-1908.

- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, 1999. NCCLS document M100-S9. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 9th Ed. Informational supplement. NCCLS, Wayne, Pa.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, 1997. Approved standard M2-A6. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests, 6th Ed. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
- Neu, H.C., 1992. The crisis in antibiotic resistance. Sci., 257: 1064-1073.
- O'Brien, T.F., 1987. Resistance of bacteria to antibacterial agents: Report of task force 2. Rev. Infect. Dis., 9: S224-S260.
- Ogan, M.T. and D.E. Nwiika, 1993. Studies on the ecology of aquatic bacteria of the lower Niger Delta: Multiple antibiotic resistance among the standard plate count organisms. J. Appl. Bacteriol., 74: 595-602
- Park, J.C., J.C. Lee, J.Y. Oh, Y.W. Jeong, J.W. Cho, H.S. Joo, W.K. Lee and W.B. Lee, 2003. Antibiotic selective pressure for the maintenance of antibiotic resistant genes in coliform bacteria isolated from the aquatic environment. Water Sci. Technol., 47: 249-253.
- Parveen, S., R.L. Murphree, L. Edmiston, C.W. Kaspar, K.M. Portier and M.L. Tamplin, 1997. Association of multiple antibiotic resistance profiles with point and nonpoint sources of *Escherichia coli* in Apalachicola Bay. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 63: 2607-2612.
- Prescott, J.F., J.D. Baggot and R.D. Walker (Ed.), 2000. Antimicrobial therapy in veterinary epidemiology, 3rd Ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames.

- Rankin, S.C., H. Aceto, J. Cassidy, J. Holt, S. Youhg, B. Love, D. Tewari, D.S. Munro and C.E. Benson, 2002. Molecular characterization of cephalosporin-resistant Salmonella enterica serotype Newport isolates from animals in Pennsylvania. J. Clin. Microbiol., 40: 4679-4684.
- Schlegelova, J., V. Babak, E. Klimova, J. Lukasova, P. Navritalova, A. Sustackova, I. Sediva and D. Rysanek, 2002. Prevalence of and resistance to anti-microbial drugs in selected microbial species isolated from bulk milk samples. J. Vet. Med. Ser. B., 49: 216-225.
- Schroeder, C.M., C. Zhao, C. DebRoy, J. Torcolini, S. Zhao, D.G. White, D.D. Wagner, P.F. McDermott, R.D. Walker and J. Meng, 2002. Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* O157 isolated from humans, cattle, swine and food. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 68: 576-581.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1986.

 Ambient water quality criteria for bacteria, 1986.

 Report EPA440/5-84-002. United States
 Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- Von Baum, H. and R. Marre, 2005. Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* and therapeutic implications. Int. J. Med. Microbiol., 295: 503-511.
- Winokur, P.L., D.L. Vonstein, L.J. Hoffman, E.K. Uhlenhopp and G.V. Doern, 2001. Evidence for transfer of CMY-2 AmpC ß-lactamase plasmids between *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* isolates from food animals and humans. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother, 45: 2716-2722.
- Witte, W., 1998. Medical consequences of antibiotic use in agriculture. Sci., 279: 996-997.