ISSN 1682-8356 ansinet.org/ijps



POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSImet

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com

International Journal of Poultry Science

ISSN: 1682-8356 DOI: 10.3923/ijps.2017.16.22



Review Article

Roles of Fructooligosaccharides and Phytase in Broiler Chickens: Review

^{1,2}Yue Shang and ³Woo Kyun Kim

Abstract

Supplementing prebiotics and enzymes into poultry diets are among the most effective strategies in order to improve nutrient utilization, growth performance, intestinal development, immune system, intestinal microbiome and gut health. Fructooligosaccharides (FOS) is one of the most common prebiotics used in poultry. It has been reported that dietary FOS supplementation in broilers improved body weight gain, feed conversion and carcass yield. It could also enhance intestinal development, improve immune responses and increase short chain fatty acid fermentation of the broilers. Furthermore, *Salmonella* infection has been reduced by FOS supplementation into broiler diets. Phytase supplementation is one of the successful enzyme application in poultry. Phytase supplementation has increased body weight gain, Ca and P utilization and bone development in broilers. The combination of prebiotics and phytase, based on the modes of action of each component has shown potential benefit in poultry. Prebiotics is capable of increasing gut fermentation, producing short chain fatty acid and reducing gut pH. It has been hypothesized that prebiotics supplementation could create an acidic environment, which is favorable for phytase, increasing phytase activity and P utilization in the intestine. Therefore, the combination of prebiotics and enzyme could be a potential strategy to improve gut health and nutrient utilization in poultry.

Key words: Phytase, fructooligosaccharides, prebiotics, enzymes, broiler

Received: November 30, 2016 Accepted: December 08, 2016 Published: December 15, 2016

Citation: Yue Shang and Woo Kyun Kim, 2017. Roles of fructooligosaccharides and phytase in broiler chickens: Review. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 16: 16-22.

Corresponding Author: Woo Kyun Kim, Department of Poultry Science, University of Georgia, 110 Cedar Street, Athens, 30602 Georgia, USA Tel: 1-706-542-1346

Copyright: © 2017 Yue Shang and Woo Kyun Kim. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

¹St. Boniface Hospital Research Centre, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

²Department of Animal Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

³Dpartment of Poultry Science, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA

INTRODUCTION

Maximizing feed nutrient utilization and identifying effective infeed antibiotics growth promoters in poultry have been major issues for the poultry industry¹⁻⁵. Dietary supplementation of prebiotics and enzymes may be one of the solutions for the poultry industry in order to optimize feed nutrient digestibility/absorption/utilization and maintain effective growth without antibiotics growth promoters. Prebiotics and enzymes are important feed additives to promote growth performance, maintain gut health and healthy immune system and enhance feed nutrient utilization in poultry 1-3. Prebiotics are non-digestible multicarbohydrates and fermented in the lower intestine for beneficial effects on the hosts, such as lowering gut pH, producing organic acids (acetic acid, propionic acid, butyric acid and lactic acid), stimulating the host's immune system, changing gut microbial community and reducing pathogen colonization^{1,2,6,7}. Although the mechanisms of prebiotics have been studies for a long time, detailed mechanisms of prebiotics still need to be further elucidated. One of the most effective dietary enzymes which have been used for poultry nutrition is phytase. Dietary phytase hydrolyzes phytic acid (phytate), which cannot be hydrolyzed in the intestine of poultry, to improve P utilization and reduce P excretion in the manure^{4,5,8,9}. Supplementation of dietary phytase can reduce feed cost and environment pollution because P is one of the most expensive feed ingredient in poultry and excess P land application can cause P run off and contaminate ground and surface water^{3,6,10-12}. Since the activity of dietary phytase is maximized in acidic pH (7-2.5)^{4,9}, fermentable prebiotics supplementation may provide better gut environment for phytase to hydrolyze phytic acid in the gut. It has been identified the potential benefits from the combination of dietary prebiotics and enzymes in poultry nutrition¹³. Thus, effective use of these feed additives can reduce production cost and increase profit for the poultry industry. In this review, we discuss overviews of prebiotics and enzymes, effects of fructooligosaccharides (FOS) and phytase and potential benefits for combination of prebiotics and enzymes.

PREBIOTICS

An overview of prebiotics: Prebiotics are defined as non-digestible food ingredients that stimulate the growth of beneficial micro-organisms in the intestine, in ways claimed to be beneficial to health². To be classified as a prebiotic the compound has to be (1) Neither hydrolysable nor absorbable

in the stomach or the small intestine, (2) A selective substrate for beneficial bacteria to be colonized in the large intestine, (3) Able to alter the gastrointestinal microbiota in favor of a healthier composition and (4) Able to induce luminal or systemic effects that are beneficial to the host health^{1,2}. Prebiotic products are predominantly oligosaccharides, which include fructooligosaccharides (FOS, oligofructose and inulin), mannan-oligosaccharide (MOS), gluco-oligosaccharides (GOS), transgalacto-oligosaccharides (TOS), xylo-oligosaccharides, soybean galacto-oligosaccharides and lactulose¹. Other sources of prebiotics include indigestible polysaccharides, certain proteins, peptides and lipids such as ethers and esters^{6,2}.

Bifidobacteria in humans, dietary prebiotic supplementations have demonstrated positive effects on promoting beneficial gut micro-organisms (especially on the stimulation of endogenous bifidobacteria), modulating lipid metabolism via fermentation and reducing gastrointestinal $pH^{2,14}$. The use of prebiotics in animal production, as a possible alternative to Antibiotic Growth Promoters (AGPs) has also exhibited the capability of modulating the gut microbial communities. Prebiotics contribute to the establishment of beneficial microbial community with an increased number of bifidobacteria and/or lactobacilli¹. In poultry, prebiotics are able to modulate the immune cells in the gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) due to the lactic action that stimulates the innate and adaptive immune activity^{6,7}. It has also been shown that dietary prebiotics supplementation reduced the population of Clostridium perfringens, Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp. in the large intestine and cecum of the chickens¹⁵.

FRUCTOOLIGOSACCHARIDES (FOS)

An overview of FOS: The fructooligosaccharides (FOS) are one of the most popular prebiotic supplements available, consisting of several (on average 5) fructosyl residues that are linked by α , β (2, 1) glycosidic bond to a terminal glucose moiety ^{15,16}. This structure is different from oligofructose, which may only contain fructose molecules. The β (2, 1) glycosidic bond is resistant to be broken down by endogenous digestive enzymes of the monogastric animals and thus, becomes available for intestinal microbiota fermentation, which in turn result in increased bifidobacteria population, lowered gut pH, production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) as well as suppression of putrefactive substances ¹⁵⁻¹⁷. The fermentation of FOS is faster than that of other fructans such as inulin, which has a Degree of Polymerization (DP) of 10-60, whereas FOS's DP ranges from 3-7^{18,19}.

Fructooligosaccharides can be naturally extracted from plant sources such as chicory root, onion, asparagus, beet, edible burdock, wheat, bananas and cane sugar^{16,20}. They can also be commercially produced from sucrose by transfructosylation of *A. niger* enzyme or from inulin by enzymatic hydrolysis¹⁶. The FOS compound consists of a glucose monomer (G) linked by α -1, 2 bound to two or more β -2,1-linked fructosyl units (F), forming 1-kestose (GF₂), nystose (GF₃) and I^F- β -fructofuranosylnystose (GF₄).

Applications of FOS supplementation in broiler chickens:

Several studies have been conducted in previous years to investigate the effect of dietary FOS supplementation on growth performance, nutrient utilization, intestinal morphology, gut microbiota, immune response and *Salmonella* immunity in broiler chickens.

Positive effects on growth performance parameters were reported by Yusrizal and Chen²¹ that FOS supplementation has improved Body Weight Gain (BWG), feed conversion and carcass weight of female broiler chickens. Similar results related to increased BWG and improved Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) were observed by Bailey *et al.*²² and Xu *et al.*²³. Variation in FOS inclusion levels may affect the growth rate and performance parameters of the bird²⁴. It has been reported that excessive FOS (1%) may cause diarrhea and generate carbon dioxide and hydrogen gases due to intensive fermentation in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, thus decreasing the production performance^{14,25}.

The gut morphology is an important indicator on digestive tract health and bird performance. Stress factors in the intestine can result in the changes of intestinal mucosa such as shortening of villus and deepening of crypts¹⁵. It is commonly believed that increasing in the villus height and decreasing in the crypts depth can positively affect the digestive and absorptive functions of the birds, due to an enlarged absorptive area and reduced tissue turnover rate in the GI tract^{15,23}. Xu et al.²³ reported that FOS exhibited positive effects on intestinal morphology in broilers. About 0.4% of FOS supplementation significantly increased (p<0.05) ileal villus height, jejunal and ileal microvillus height and villus height to crypt depth ratio, while decreased crypt depth in the jejunum and ileum. Similarly, Shang et al.26 reported that villus height, crypt depth and total mucosal thickness were significantly increased in the ileum of broiler chickens fed 0.5% of FOS supplementation. The beneficial changes in the intestinal mucosa structures are most likely due to the ability of FOS to create a favorable gut microbial environment¹⁵.

Recent studies with dietary FOS supplementation have also been shown to improve intestinal microbiota of broiler chickens by stimulating the growth of beneficial bacteria such as bifidobacteria and lactobacilli, while limiting the growth of pathogenic bacteria, such as *Salmonella* spp. and *Escherichia coli*^{15,23,27}. A number of *in vivo* studies have demonstrated that the intensive growth of beneficial bacteria suppresses the activities of the potential hazardous bacterial species and reduces the production of toxic substances, such as ammonia and phenols, thereby improving the overall health of the animals^{15,21-23,28}. Furthermore, the supplementation of FOS in poultry diet increases gut fermentation, SCFA production and enzymes activities, which results in acidification and reducing pH in the GI tract^{15,23,29}.

Fructooligosaccharide supplementations demonstrated positive effects toward the immune responses of the chickens by promoting the growth of lactic acid producing bacteria²³. Janardhana et al.⁷ supplemented 5 g kg⁻¹ of FOS in addition to the basal broiler chicken diet and observed higher titers of plasma immunoglobulin (I g), M (p<0.01) and $\lg G$ (p<0.01) than the control group. The FOS treated birds also had reduced number of B cells and depressed mitogen responses of lymphocytes in the cecal tonsil (p<0.05), without detrimental effects on performance, which is likely due to the SCFA fermentation and a combination of toll-like receptor mediated responses through their interaction with the gut micro-organisms and microbial products. Emami et al.30 investigated FOS as an alternative to virginiamycin on immune response of male broilers and discovered that the primary antibody titers against sheep red blood cell were higher in the FOS fed treatment. Kim et al.²⁸ reported that the H:L ratio and the basophil leukocytes were significantly higher in 0.5% FOS groups than treatments with other prebiotics.

Salmonella spp. infection is a major cause of food-borne illness in human. Effective control of salmonellosis in meat-type chicken production is essential to ensure poultry food safety³¹. The FOS supplementation has been reported to have anti-Salmonella activity and it is mostly due to the shift of intestinal microbiota and the production of short-chain fatty acids³². Bailey et al.²² reported that treatments with FOS showed a fourfold reduction of Salmonella in chicken ceca. Shang et al.26 intraperitoneally injected Salmonella enteritidis lipopolysaccharides (LPS) into broiler chickens and observed a significant increase of specific IgY to Salmonella LPS level in the FOS supplemented group. Alterations of leukocytes compositions, such as reduced heterophils and increased monocytes count along with elevated expressions of certain cytokine genes were also reported in the FOS supplemented broilers. In general, feeding FOS in broiler diet may result in improved immunity against Salmonella and reduced Salmonella colonization.

PHYTASE

An overview of phytase: Phytases are types of phosphatase enzymes that can be found naturally in plants and microoganisms, such as fungi and bacteria9. Depending on the activity profile and the optimum pH for catalysis, phytase enzymes can be further classified as acid, neutral and alkaline phosphatases³³. The majority of the phytases (myo-inositol hexakisphosphate phosphohydrolase) are acid phosphatases from fungal sources and belong to a subfamily of the high molecular weight Histidine Acid Phosphatases (HAPs). The HAPs have a two-step mechanism to hydrolyze phosphomonoesters bond from phytic acid (myo-inositol 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6-hexakis dihydrogen phosphate) and release phytate phosphorous (P)^{33,34}. Some of the commercial HAPs include Aspergillus terreus, A. fumigatus, A. niger, A. oryzae, Emericella nidulans, Myceliophthora thermophila and Saccharomyces cerevisae^{4,9}. Specific enzymatic activities of these fungal phytase are closely related to the environmental pH and the temperature. The optimum pH ranges from 2.5-7.0, with most of the phytase enzymes achieving their maximum activity at under pH 5.04,9. The optimum temperature is ranging from 40-60°C with an acceptable temperature at 41°C for highest phytase activities⁴. These conditions are close to the ideal physical condition in the GI tract of the animals, thus dietary supplementation of phytase would result in a high rate for hydrolyzing phytic acid from the animal feeds4.

Monogastric animals, such as poultry and swine are unable to utilize phytic acid (phytate) due to minimum phytase activity in the brush broader membrane of their digestive tracts and since phytate-P cannot be absorbed^{5,8,9}. However, the majority of poultry and swine feeds are of plant origin, in which around 50-80% of total P are presented as phytate-P. Therefore, phytase has been supplemented in animal diets to liberate phytate bond P molecule and prevent the formation of insoluble Ca-phytate complexes^{35,36,9}. Supplementation with phytase has been proven to be an effective method to increase the P availability in seed-based animal feed and also to improve P digestibility in the animals^{3,5,9}. It further reduces the excessive P level from animal waste that may lead to environmental pollution^{10,37}.

Effects of phytase on phosphrous utilization and bone mineralization in broiler chickens: Similar to other monogastric animals, positive effects such as improvement in hydrolyzing phytate-P, increased P digestibility, improved bone mineralization and reduced P excretion have been

observed in poultry with phytase supplementation^{5,13}. In the same time, phytase supplementation reduced the addition of inorganic phosphate in poultry rations and thus lowered the production costs^{3,11,12,37}.

It is generally recognized that in poultry a 0.1% reduction of the available P content can be achieved with phytase supplementation, although as recently reviewed by Slominski³⁵ approximately 0.05% of phytate-P would only from poultry diets following supplementation. Moreover, promising results have been observed on the growth performance of broiler chickens by supplementing phytase. For instance, Simons et al.38 reported that phytase increased bird performance and improved bone mineralization, while El-Sherbiny et al.³⁹ examined broiler diets containing a reduced level of di-calcium phosphate and concluded that the addition of 500 U kg⁻¹ phytase enhanced BWG, Feed Intake (FI) and FCR of the birds from 23-40 day of age. Phytase supplementation in P standard broiler diets have been shown to generate equivalent growth performance, whereas significantly increased weight gain (7.6%) and feed efficiency (4.7%) have been observed in birds that fed reduced P and calcium (Ca) diet⁵.

Phytase addition has been shown to have positive effects on bone ash content and bone mineralization in broiler chickens fed low available P diet11,40,41. El-Sherbiny et al.39 reported that phytase increased dietary Ca and P utilization, reduced Ca and P excretion and improved tibia breaking strength and tibia ash percentage in broiler chickens. Previous studies indicated that the tibia ash percentage and bone breaking strength of birds fed low Ca and available P diet were improved by phytase supplementation, however, the values were not equivalent to that of the control diet^{39,42,41}. Angel et al.40 reported that whole body and tibia Bone Mineral Density (BMD) and Bone Mineral Content (BMC) of birds were higher in diets with 0.26% available P and 600 U kg⁻¹ of phytase, although lower than those fed the control diet. Chung et al.43 found similar results showing that phytase supplementation improved bird femur and tibia BMD and BMC when compared with birds fed the low-P control diet (available P reduced by 0.1%).

COMBINATION OF FOS AND PHYTASE

As previously described, prebiotic fructooligosaccharides are bioactive substances which can influence on nutrition, immune response, overall health and gut microbiota of the broiler chickens by stimulating microbial fermentation and producing short-chain fatty acid, which consequently acidify

the gastrointestinal tract^{15,44}. Several studies conducted on mice have demonstrated that FOS counteracted the deleterious effects of phytic acid by improving cecal absorption of minerals and stimulating the hydrolysis of phytate via fermentation by probiotic-like bacteria 45,44. Phytase enzyme is commonly applied in the poultry industry to hydrolyze phytate-P and improve P utilization. Results have indicated that an acidic gut pH is favourable for mineral solubility as well as for phytase activity^{4,9,46}. Shang et al.¹³ demonstrated that a combination of 0.5% FOS and phytase increased P utilization, in specific, increased apparent P digestibility and P retention in broilers. Therefore, the combination of FOS and phytase could have additive effects on improving growth performance, bone quality and P utilization of broiler chickens. To date, very few studies have evaluated the synergistic effects of different types of prebiotics and enzymes in poultry diet. This review could serve as a reference and thus to encourage more studies to be done in the very near future to advance our knowledge on the roles of prebiotics and enzymes supplementations in poultry.

CONCLUSIONS

Prebiotics and enzymes, including but not limited to FOS and phytases are 2 functional dietary supplementations that can efficiently improve poultry performance and health. Previous studies showed that broiler chicken are able to acquire improved nutrient utilization, better intestinal development, stronger immune system and healthier gut microbiome after receiving FOS or phytase supplementation. Although, supplementation of prebiotics or enzymes alone is proven to be effective and is getting more attention in the poultry production, it is important to realize that supplementing the combination of both prebiotics and enzymes could also be a potential strategy to improve growth performance, nutrient utilization and gut health in poultry.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This study reviews dietary supplementation of prebiotic fructooligosaccharides and phytase enzyme on the improvement of growth performance, nutrient utilization, intestinal development, immune system and gut health in broiler chickens. In the meantime, it proposed a potential strategy by supplementing both prebiotics and enzymes in the diet to further improve poultry health and performance.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gaggia, F., P. Mattarelli and B. Biavati, 2010. Probiotics and prebiotics in animal feeding for safe food production. Int. J. Food. Microbiol., 141: S15-S28.
- 2. Gibson, G.R. and M.B. Roberfroid, 1995. Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: Introducing the concept of prebiotics. J. Nutr., 125: 1401-1412.
- 3. Nahm, K.H., 2002. Efficient feed nutrient utilization to reduce pollutants in poultry and swine manure. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol., 32: 1-16.
- Naves, L.D.P., A.D. Correa, A.G. Bertechini, E.M. Gomide and C.D. Santos, 2012. Effect of ph and temperature on the activity of phytase products used in broiler nutrition. Rev. Bras. Cienc. Avic., 14: 181-185.
- 5. Selle, P.H. and V. Ravindran, 2007. Microbial phytase in poultry nutrition. Anim. Feed. Sci. Technol., 135: 1-41.
- Dankowiakowska, A., I. Kozlowska and M. Bednarczyk, 2013. Probiotics, prebiotics and snybiotics in poultry-mode of action, limitation and achievements. J. Central Eur. Agric., 14: 467-478.
- 7. Janardhana, V., M.M. Broadway, M.P. Bruce, J.W. Lowenthal, M.S. Geier, R.J. Hughes and A.G.D. Bean, 2009. Prebiotics modulate immune responses in the gut-associated lymphoid tissue of chickens. J. Nutr., 139: 1404-1409.
- 8. Maenz, D.D. and H.L. Classen, 1998. Phytase activity in the small intestinal brush border membrane of the chicken. Poult. Sci., 77: 557-563.
- 9. Wyss, M., R. Brugger, A. Kronenberger, R. Remy and R. Fimbel *et al.*, 1999. Biochemical characterization of fungal phytases (*myo*-inositol hexakisphosphate phosphohydrolases): Catalytic properties. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 65: 367-373.
- Boling, S.D., M.W. Douglas, M.L. Johnson, X. Wang, C.M. Parsons, K.W. Koelkebeck and R.A. Zimmermant, 2000. The effects of dietary available phosphorus levels and phytase on performance of young and older laying hens. Poult. Sci., 79: 224-230.
- 11. Coppedge, J., J. Klein, B. Brown, B. Ratliff, F. Ruch and J.T. Lee, 2011. Effects of co-administration of phytase and NSpase on broiler performance and bone ash. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 10: 933-939.
- 12. Powell, S., T.D. Bidner and L.L. Southern, 2011. Phytase supplementation improved growth performance and bone characteristics in broilers fed varying levels of dietary calcium. Poult. Sci., 90: 604-608.
- Shang, Y., A. Rogiewicz, R. Patterson, B.A. Slominski and W.K. Kim, 2015. The effect of phytase and fructooligosaccharide supplementation on growth performance, bone quality and phosphorus utilization in broiler chickens. Poult. Sci., 94: 955-964.

- 14. Cummings, J.H., G.T. Macfarlane and H.N. Englyst, 2001. Prebiotic digestion and fermentation. Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 73: 415S-420S.
- 15. Boguslawska-Tryk, M., A. Piotrowska and K. Burlikowska, 2012. Dietary fructans and their potential beneficial influence on health and performance parametrs in broiler chickens. J. Central Eur. Agric., 13: 272-291.
- 16. Hidaka, H. and M. Hirayama, 1991. Useful characteristics and commercial applications of fructo-oligosaccharides. Biochem. Soc. Trans., 19: 561-565.
- Swiatkiewicz, S., J. Koreleski and A. Arczewska-Wlosek, 2011.
 Effect of inulin and oligofructose on performance and bone characteristics of broiler chickens fed on diets with different concentrations of calcium and phosphorus. Br. Poult. Sci., 52: 483-491.
- 18. Nyman, M., 2002. Fermentation and bulking capacity of indigestible carbohydrates: The case of inulin and oligofructose. Br. J. Nutr., 87: \$163-\$168.
- 19. Scholz-Ahrens, K.E., G. Schaafsma, E.G.H.M. van den Heuvel and J. Schrezenmeir, 2001. Effects of prebiotics on mineral metabolism. Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 73: 459s-464s.
- 20. Williams, J., S. Mallet, M. Leconte, M. Lessire and I. Gabriel, 2008. The effects of fructo-oligosaccharides or whole wheat on the performance and digestive tract of broiler chickens. Br. Poult. Sci., 49: 329-339.
- 21. Yusrizal and T.C. Chen, 2003. Effect of adding chicory fructans in feed on fecal and intestinal microflora and excreta volatile ammonia. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 2: 188-194.
- 22. Bailey, J.S., L.C. Blankenship and N.A. Cox, 1991. Effect of fructooligosaccharide on *Salmonella* colonization of the chicken intestine. Poult. Sci., 70: 2433-2438.
- 23. Xu, Z.R., C.H. Hu, M.S. Xia, X.A. Zhan and M.Q. Wang, 2003. Effects of dietary fructooligosaccharide on digestive enzyme activities, intestinal microflora and morphology of male broilers. Poult. Sci., 82: 1030-1036.
- 24. Yang, Y., P.A. Iji and M. Choct, 2009. Dietary modulation of gut microflora in broiler chickens: A review of the role of six kinds of alternatives to in-feed antibiotics. World's Poult. Sci. J., 65: 97-114.
- 25. Fox, J.G., 2002. The non-h pylori helicobacters: Their expanding role in gastrointestinal and systemic diseases. Gut, 50: 273-283.
- 26. Shang, Y., A. Regassa, J.H. Kim and W.K. Kim, 2015. The effect of dietary fructooligosaccharide supplementation on growth performance, intestinal morphology and immune responses in broiler chickens challenged with *Salmonella* enteritidis lipopolysaccharides. Poult. Sci., 94: 2887-2897.
- 27. Flickinger, E.A., J. van Loo and G.C. Fahey, 2003. Nutritional responses to the presence of inulin and oligofructose in the diets of domesticated animals: A review. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 43: 19-60.

- 28. Kim, G.B., Y.M. Seo, C.H. Kim and I.K. Paik, 2011. Effect of dietary prebiotic supplementation on the performance, intestinal microflora and immune response of broilers. Poult Sci., 90: 75-82.
- 29. Yang, Y., P.A. Iji, A. Kocher, L.L. Mikkelsen and M. Choct, 2008. Effects of mannanoligosaccharide and fructooligosaccharide on the response of broilers to pathogenic *Escherichia coli* challenge. Br. Poult. Sci., 49: 550-559.
- Emami, N.K., A. Samie, H.R. Rahmani and C.A. Ruiz-Feria, 2012.
 The effect of peppermint essential oil and fructooligosaccharides, as alternatives to virginiamycin, on growth performance, digestibility, gut morphology and immune response of male broilers. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 175: 57-64.
- 31. Chambers, J.R. and J. Gong, 2011. The intestinal microbiota and its modulation for *Salmonella* control in chickens. Food Res. Int., 44: 3149-3159.
- 32. Van Immerseel, F., L. de Zutter, K. Houf, F. Pasmans, F. Haesebrouck and R. Ducatelle, 2009. Strategies to control *Salmonella* in the broiler production chain. World's Poult. Sci. J., 65: 367-392.
- 33. Mullaney, E.J. and A.H.J. Ullah, 2003. The term phytase comprises several different classes of enzymes. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 312: 179-184.
- 34. Mittal, A., G. Singh, V. Goyal, A. Yadav, K.R. Aneja, S.K. Gautam and N.K. Aggarwal, 2011. Isolation and biochemical characterization of acido-thermophilic extracellular phytase producing bacterial strain for potential application in poultry feed. Jundishapur J. Microbiol., 4: 273-282.
- 35. Slominski, B.A., 2011. Recent advances in research on enzymes for poultry diets. Poult. Sci., 90: 2013-2023.
- 36. Woyengo, T.A., B.A. Slominski and R.O. Jones, 2010. Growth performance and nutrient utilization of broiler chickens fed diets supplemented with phytase alone or in combination with citric acid and multicarbohydrase. Poult. Sci., 89: 2221-2229.
- 37. Knowlton, K.F., J.S. Radcliffe, C.L. Novak and D.A. Emmerson, 2004. Animal management to reduce phosphorus losses to the environment. J. Anim. Sci., 82: E173-E195.
- 38. Simons, P.C.M., H.A.J. Versteegh, A.W. Jongbloed, P.A. Kemme and P. Slump *et al.*, 1990. Improvement of phosphorus availability by microbial phytase in broilers and pigs. Br. J. Nutr., 64: 525-540.
- 39. El-Sherbiny, A.E., H.M.A. Hassan, M.O. Abd-Elsamee, A. Samy and M.A. Mohamed, 2010. Performance, bone parameters and phosphorus excretion of broilers fed low phosphorus diets supplemented with phytase from 23 to 40 days of age. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 9: 972-977.
- 40. Angel, R., W.W. Saylor, A.D. Mitchell, W. Powers and T.J. Applegate, 2006. Effect of dietary phosphorus, phytase and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol on broiler chicken bone mineralization, litter phosphorus and processing yields. Poult. Sci., 85: 1200-1211.

- 41. Woyengo, T.A., W. Guenter, J.S. Sands, C.M. Nyachoti and M.A. Mirza, 2008. Nutrient utilisation and performance responses of broilers fed a wheat-based diet supplemented with phytase and xylanase alone or in combination. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 146: 113-123.
- 42. Powell, S., S. Johnston, L. Gaston and L.L. Southern, 2008. The effect of dietary phosphorus level and phytase supplementation on growth performance, bone-breaking strength and litter phosphorus concentration in broilers. Poult. Sci., 87: 949-957.
- 43. Chung, T.K., S.M. Rutherfurd, D.V. Thomas and P.J. Moughan, 2013. Effect of two microbial phytases on mineral availability and retention and bone mineral density in low-phosphorus diets for broilers. Br. Poult. Sci., 54: 362-373.
- 44. Wang, Y., T. Zeng, S.E. Wang, W. Wang, Q. Wang and H.X. Yu, 2010. Fructo-oligosaccharides enhance the mineral absorption and counteract the adverse effects of phytic acid in mice. Nutrition, 26: 305-311.
- Lopez, H.W., C. Coudray, M.A. Levrat-Verny, C. Feillet-Coudray, C. Demigne and C. Remesy, 2000. Fructooligosaccharides enhance mineral apparent absorption and counteract the deleterious effects of phytic acid on mineral homeostasis in rats. J. Nutr. Biochem., 11: 500-508.
- 46. Selle, P.H., A.J. Cowieson and V. Ravindran, 2009. Consequences of calcium interactions with phytate and phytase for poultry and pigs. Livest. Sci., 124: 126-141.