ISSN 1682-8356 ansinet.org/ijps



# POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSImet

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com International Journal of Poultry Science 14 (9): 529-532, 2015 ISSN 1682-8356

© Asian Network for Scientific Information, 2015



# Effect of *Andrographis paniculata* a Phytobiotic on Consumption, Feed Conversion and Mojosari Duck Egg Production

Dyah Lestari Yulianti<sup>1</sup>, Pratiwi Trisunuwati<sup>2</sup>, Osfar Sjofjan<sup>2</sup> and Eko Widodo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Animal Husbandry Faculty, Kanjuruhan University,
S. Supriyadi No. 48 Street, Malang City, East Java, 65148, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Animal Husbandry Faculty, Brawijaya University, Malang,
Veteran No. 48 Street, Malang City, East Java, 65145, Indonesia

Abstract: The aim of this research was to investigate the effect of *Andrographis paniculata* leaf powder as a phytobiotic on feed consumption, feed conversion and egg production of the Mojosari duck (*Mojosari anas plathryncos*). One-hundred 24-month-old Mojosari ducks were given basal feed with different concentrations of *Andrographis paniculata* leaf powder as a feed additive. Experiments were arranged with a completely random design, with five leaf powder concentrations, each replicated four times; *A. paniculata* leaf powder was added to rations in the following concentrations: 0% (P<sub>0</sub>), 0.2% (P<sub>1</sub>), 0.4% (P<sub>2</sub>), 0.6% (P<sub>3</sub>) and 0.8% (P<sub>4</sub>). Data was analyzed using analysis variants and if there was a difference between treatments, those data were further subjected to a least significant difference test; variables included feed consumption, daily egg production and feed conversion. Results showed that *A. paniculata* leaf powder significantly affected (p<0.05) feed conversion and egg production of Mojosari ducks. However, *A. paniculata* leaf powder had no significant effect (p>0.05) on feed consumption. Average feed consumption per treatment (P<sub>0</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>, or P<sub>4</sub>) was 136.77, 137.82, 135.8, 139.47 and 138.97 g/bird/d, respectively. Average feed conversion values were 9.35 (P<sub>0</sub>), 5.92 (P<sub>1</sub>), 4.93 (P<sub>2</sub>), 7.01 (P<sub>3</sub>) and 13.50 (P<sub>4</sub>) and average egg production percentage per treatment was 23.18% for P<sub>0</sub>, 33.73% for P<sub>1</sub>, 42.22% for P<sub>2</sub>, 28.25% for P<sub>3</sub> and 14.61% for P<sub>4</sub>. It was concluded that 0.4% *A. paniculata* leaf powder was optimal for its phytobiotic actions on Mojosari ducks.

Key words: Andrographis paniculata leaf powder, phytobiotics, Mojosari duck (Mojosari anas plathryncos)

## INTRODUCTION

Ducks play an important role in supporting the availability of cheap animal protein sources that are easy to obtain. Thus, duck farming as an alternative source of income has surged in both rural and peri-urban communities. In fact, duck eggs constituted more than 180,000 tons (16%) of the national egg production in Indonesia in 2005. Moreover, duck meat made up 38,700 tons (3%) of the national production of poultry meat or about 2% of national meat production in Indonesia. Generally, ducks traditionally rising with the breed quality are not selected, irregular supply of feed and grazing from one place to another. Mojosari duck (Mojosari anas plathryncos) egg production rates are still relatively low at between 100-150 eggs/year compared with intensively reared ducks (Ketaren, 2007).

Mojosari duck germplasm has the potential contribution greatly to available sources of animal protein for the people of Indonesia. This local indigenous duck is found in the Mojokerto District in East Java (Indonesia). Production of this duck is higher than that of Tegal ducks. Thus, Mojosari ducks have the potential to be developed as a commercial egg laying duck in both traditional and intensive rearing. The body of these

ducks is relatively smaller than other egg laying ducks, but their eggs are quite large, tasty and popular with Indonesian consumers. Interestingly, ducks are more resistant to diseases and parasites than other fowl types, allowing the concentration of drugs and other additives in duck feed to be lower than that for chickens and turkeys. But the development of drugs added to feed ducks in order to prevent the outbreak of diseases, such as colibacillosis, fowl cholera, salmonellosis and necrotic enteritis (JETACAR, 1999).

Since the 1970s, when farms began to grow in Indonesia, the use of antibiotics as growth promoters and to improve feed efficiency emerged. However, a problem that has arisen from these practices in livestock has been shown to indirectly impact human health (Soeharsono et al., 2010). As a result, European countries have restricted the use of antibiotics as growth promoters in animal feed and have started to invite all producers and breeders around the world to use alternative materials. Phytogenic medicinal plants represent natural antibiotic alternatives that have been gaining attention from the public and researchers alike. Phytogenic additives, plant extracts, essential oils, prebiotics and probiotics have been widely studied as a

replacement for antibiotics (Toghyani et al., 2011). Herbal plants have been reported to have antibacterial activity against pathogenic microorganisms (Vincent, 2002). The use of medicinal plants either as antibiotic feed additives or medical treatments is likely to increase with the increase in antibiotic resistant microorganisms. Traditional medicinal plants that have long been associated with disease prevention in humans also have a variety of other beneficial properties, making them potentially useful as multifunctional natural food additives (phytobiotics) for livestock. Furthermore, medicinal plants have been shown to improve the condition of the digestive tract (pH and microflora balance), feed conversion, the digestibility of nutrients, weight, immunity and reproductive performance, reduce morbidity and mortality rates and prevent and treat diseases of domestic cattle (Ulfah, 2006).

Andrographis paniculata is one such plant that has natural phytobiotic potential and can be administered as a powder. The active substances in this bitter plant are andrographolides which function as antihepatotoxic, anticancer (hepatoprotective). anti-alleray. inflammatory, antimicrobial, larvicidal and ovicidal compounds, as well as testicular toxins. While the xanthone constituents of this plant act antiplasmodics, its neoandrographolide and diterpene phytoconstituents have antidiarrheal Furthermore, the presence of 14-deoxyandrographolide and 14-deoxy-11,12-dehidroandrographolide makes this plant an effective antioxidant (Chowdhury, 2012). A study by Tipakorn (2002) showed that the use of 0.4% A. paniculata leaf powder in feed decreased mortality rates and injuries in the intestinal tract of broilers caused by coccidiosis. Accordingly, Triyanto (2006) examined whether higher doses could improve the appearance of broiler production, including body weight gain and feed conversion. This study showed that 0.6% A. paniculata leaf powder conferred the best performance to broiler production. Alamsari et al. (2001) suggested that A. paniculata reduced aflatoxin content in feed, prevented the flu and improved stamina. In addition, Sambiloto can also serve as an antiviral and improve symptoms associated with coccidiosis (Yamin, 2009). However, there is still a lack of information regarding the use of A. paniculata leaf powder in duck feed. Therefore, the current study investigated the potential effects of A. paniculata as a feed additive on Mojosari duck production.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The materials used in this study were:

- 1: 100 Mojosari ducks during the egg laying period.
- Basal feed was based on the nutrient needs of ducks during the egg laying period, PK = 17-19%, EM = 2700 kcal/kg, 0.37% methionine, 1.05% lysine,

- 2.9-3.25% calcium and 0.6% phosphorus (Sinurat *et al.*, 2000). The composition of the basal feed is presented in Table 1.
- 3: A. paniculata leaf powder was produced by the Materia Medica District of Kejayan, Pasuruan (Indonesia). The chemical composition of A. paniculata leaf powder is presented in Table 2.

This study had a completely randomized design, examining five *A. paniculata* leaf treatment concentrations in four replicates; each replicate consisted of five ducks. Experimental treatments were as follows:

- Po: Basal feed+0% A. paniculata leaf powder (control)
- P<sub>1</sub>: Basal feed+0.2% A. paniculata leaf powder
- P2: Basal feed+0.4% A. paniculata leaf powder
- P<sub>3</sub>: Basal feed+0.6% A. paniculata leaf powder
- P4: Basal feed+0.8% A. paniculata leaf powder

Feed and water were provided ad libitum.

#### Research variables:

- 1: Consumption of feed (g/bird/d)
- 2: Feed conversion
- 3: Daily egg production (%)
- 4: Egg weight (g)
- 5: Egg mass (g)

### **RESULTS**

Average feed consumption (g/bird/d) and daily egg production (%) are presented in Table 3. Average egg weight (g), egg mass (g) and feed conversion are presented in Table 4.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Based on the results of the current study (Table 5), administration of A. paniculata leaf powder to feed did not significantly affect the feed consumption of Mojosari ducks (p<0.01), but rather, it is caused by consuming poultry feed according to its energy needs. Ducks require 2700 kcal/kg of metabolizable energy during the egg laying period (Sinurat et al., 2000). Adding A. paniculata leaf powder to the feed, however, did significantly affect the average daily egg production (p<0.01). Bitter components of A. paniculata contain active compounds (i.e., andrographolides) which function as antihepatotoxins, anti-allergy, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, larvacidal and ovicidal compounds, as well as testicular toxins (Chowdhury, 2012). The concentration of active andrographolide compounds in A. paniculata leaf powder was 18.43 mg/g or 1.84%. This result was consistent with the findings of Tipakorn (2002) who stated that the content of the highest andrographolide compound contained

0.56

Table 1: Composition of feed ingredients in basal rations

Feed ingredients	Percentage (%)
Corn	54.45
Rice bran	15.46
Soybean meal	18.61
Fish meal	2.65
Shirmp waste meal	2.45
Bone meal	3.00
Coconut oil	1.22
CaCO₃	1.75
DL methionine	0.07
L Lysin	0.29
Premix	0.22
Mineral	0.18
Nutrients	
Gross energy (kkal/kg)1	3,409.97
Dry matter (%) <sup>1</sup>	85.82
Crude protein (%)1	17.98
Crude fiber (%)1	7.24
Extract eter (%)1	4.74
Ash (%) <sup>1</sup>	13.44
Ca (%) <sup>2</sup>	2.77

<sup>1</sup>Analysis of Feed and Nutrition Laboratory Animal Husbandry Faculty of Brawijaya University (Indonesia)

<sup>2</sup>Soil Chemistry Analysis Laboratory, Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Brawijaya Uni∨ersity

Table 2: Chemical composition of Andrographis paniculata leaf

powder	
Chemical composition	Percentage (%)
Gross energy (kkal/kg) <sup>1</sup>	2943.49
Dry matter (%)1	90.28
Crude protein (%)1	6.99
Crude fiber (%) <sup>1</sup>	35.50
Extract eter (%)1	0.91
Ash (%)1	14.77
Total chlorophyl (mg/g) <sup>2</sup>	1.96
Ca (ppm) <sup>2</sup>	310.00
P (ppm) <sup>2</sup>	12.40
Andrografolid (mg/g) <sup>2</sup>	18.43

Feed and Nutrition Analysis Laboratory, Animal Husbandry Faculty of Brawijaya University (Indonesia)

<sup>2</sup>Medicinal Study Center LPPM, Bogor Agricultural University (Indonesia)

Table 3: Average of feed consumption and daily egg production (DEP)

	/	
Treatment	Feed consumption (g/bird/day)	DDP (%)
P <sub>0</sub>	128.20	23.18b
<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	133.74	33.73⁵
$P_2$	130.15	42.22°
$P_3$	130.55	28.26b
P <sub>4</sub>	139.49	14.61ª
	•	

a,bp<0.01

P (%)2

Table 4: Average egg weight, egg mass and feed conversion

	Egg Egg	Egg	Feed
Treatment	weight (g)	mass (g)	conversion
P <sub>0</sub>	60.83ª	14.11 <sup>b</sup>	9.35₺
<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	67.13⁵	22.63 <sup>d</sup>	5.92°
$P_2$	63.00°	26.58°	4.93°
P₃	66.25°	18.73⁵	7.01°
P <sub>4</sub>	71.05⁰	10.35°	13.49°

a,bp<0.01

within *A. paniculata* leaves was 2.39%. This discrepancy is likely because the simplicia of *A. paniculata* leaf powder used in the present study was contaminated by the stems and twigs of the plant, thereby lowering the andrographolide concentration detected.

Andrographolides function as antimicrobials which help to maintain the balance of microflora within the digestive tract. Ideally, more than 90% of the microflora population in the digestive tract should be beneficial bacteria, such as Lactobacilli and Bifido bacteria and only a very small proportion of potentially damaging bacteria should be present, such as E. coli and Staphylococci. A previous study has shown that the active ingredients of many medicinal plants inhibit growth of food-borne and other pathogens and fungi in the digestive tract (Guthter and Ulfah, 2003). The positive influence of such inhibitory mechanisms is the prevention of nutrient degradation by microbes, increasing their bioavailability in livestock. Furthermore, medicinal plants are also known to increase the digestibility of nutrients, nitrogen metabolism, amino acids, glucose and energy conversion (Ulfah, 2006), all of which increase egg production. However, adding 0.6% A. paniculata leaf powder to feed was found to reduce egg production the current study, presumably andrographolides are known to be ovicidal (Chowdhury, 2012). A study conducted by Krisnamoorthy et al. (2011) showed changes in the structure of oogonia, yolk content, oocyte wall structure and composition of columnar cells and tunica albugenea of the ovaries with A. paniculatas treatment.

Based on current results, addition of A. paniculata leaf powder to duck rations significantly affected Mojosari duck feed conversion (p<0.01). The lowest feed conversion obtained using 0.4% A. paniculata leaf powder was 4.93. The yearly feed efficiency of egg laying ducks is usually measured by the FCR and is still very poor (range, 3.2-5.0) compared with egg laying chickens [range, 2.4-2.6] (Hy-Line International, 1986). Poor feed efficiency in egg laying and broiler ducks can be caused by various factors, including genetic factors, the amount of feed that is scattered and the nutritional content of feed (Ketaren, 2007). The phytobiotic feed additive A. paniculata affects the gastrointestinal tract microbiota ecosystem by inhibiting mechanisms of microbial pathogens. Increased digestive capacity in the small intestine is also an indirect effect on microflora eubiosis that supports the stability of the digestive tract of poultry. Phytogenic compounds have been shown to support livestock immunity in critical conditions and increase the absorption of essential nutrients that will help livestock grow according to their genetic potential (Hashemi and Davoodi, 2010). Considering addition of 0.4% A. paniculata reduced Mojosari duck production, it is likely

that the growth promoting compounds within this plant become toxic to the digestive tract at higher concentrations, affecting the stability of microflora populations therein.

**Conclusion:** The results of this study concluded that addition of *A. paniculata* leaf powder to basal feed affected Mojosari duck production and performance, including daily egg production, feed conversion, egg weight and egg production. The optimum level of *A. paniculata* leaf powder to use as an animal phytobiotic feed additive with Mojosari ducks was 0.4%. However, further research using *A. paniculata* leaf powder as a feed additive is required on Mojosari duck egg quality.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This research was supported by the Directorate of Research and Community Services and the Directorate General of Higher Education from the Ministry of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia. We thank the Institute for Research and Community Services at the University of Kanjuruhan (Malang, Indonesia) for facilitating the researcher, the Dean of the Faculty of Animal Husbandry which facilitated researchers utilizing the Integrated Laboratory Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Promoters and Co-Promoters of researchers. We also thank Prof. Dr. drh. Pratiwi Trisunuwati, MS, Dr. Ir. Osfar Sjofjan, MSc and Dr. Ir. Eko Widodo, MagrSc MSc for comments that greatly improved the manuscript.

# **REFERENCES**

- Alamsari, O.S., S.U. Handayani and U. Cahyaningsih, 2001. Effect of using *Zingiber aromaticum val.* extract on chicken *Eimeria* spp. oocysts production. National conference IX. Persada Bogor, Indonesia.
- Chowdhury, A., S.K. Biswas, S.Z. Raihan, J. Das and S. Paul, 2012. Pharmacological Potentials of *Andrographis paniculata*: An Overview. Int. J. Pharmacol., 8: 6-9.
- Guther, K.D. and M. Ulfah, 2003. Influence of natural essential oils on digestion, metabolism and efficient production. Paper presented at the 4th Buffalo Symposium. New Delhi. India.
- Hashemi ,S.R., and H. Davoodi, 2010. Phytogenic as New Class of Feed Additive in Poultry Industry. J. Anim. Vet. Adv 9:2295-2304
- Hy-Line International, 1986. Hy-Line Variety Brown. Commercial Management Guide. A Publication of Hy-Line International. West Des Moines. IOWA.

- JETACAR, 1999. The use of antibiotics in food producing animals: Antibiotic resistant bacteria in animal and humans. Report of the Joint Expert Advisory Committee on Antibiotic Resistance Advisory Committee on Antibiotic Resistance. Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care. Commonwealth Department of Agiculture, Fisheries and Forestry, Australia.
- Ketaren, P.P., 2007. Duck's as National Egg and Meat Producer. Wartazoa, 17: 117-127.
- Krisnamoorthy, P., J. Roopavathy, M. Sukumaran and K. Rajeswari, 2011. Effect of *Andrographis paniculata* leaves extract on ovary of adult ornamental fish-Black molly (*Mollienisia latipinna*). Adv. Appl. Sci. Res., 2: 314-319.
- Sinurat, A.P., 2000. Local Chicken and Duck Feed Formulation. Trainning Project of Agribisnis Animal Husbandry Development. Department of Animal Husbandry DKI Jakarta, Indonesia June 20th 2000.
- Soeharsono, H., L. Adriani, R. Safitri, O. Sjofjan, S. Abdullah, R. Rostika, H.A.W. Lengkey and A. Musawwir, 2010. Probiotic; Basic Scientific, Aplication, Practical Aspect. Widya Padjadjaran. Bandung, Indonesia.
- Tipakorn, N., 2002. Effect of Andrographis paniculata (Burm F.) Nees On Performance, Mortality and Coccidiosis In Broiler Chickens. Doctoral Dissertation. Georg-August-University, Gottingen, Germany.
- Toghyani, M., M. Toghyani, M. Mohammadrezqei, A. Gheisari, S.A. Tabeidian and G. Ghalamkari, 2011. Effect of Cocoa and Thyme Powder Alone or in Combination on Humoral Immunity and Serum Biochemical Matebolites of Broiler Chicks. 2nd International Conference on Agricultural and Animal Science.
- Triyanto, 2006. White Blood Cholesterol Profiles of Broiler were given *Andrographis paniculata* Nees on Ration. Thesis. http://repository.ipb.ac.id/handle/123456789/1075. Access December 1th 2012.
- Ulfah, M., 2006. Herbals Potential as Multi Fuctional Phytobiotic to Increase Wild Animal Health and Performance on Animal Preservation. Conservation Media Vol. XI, No. 3 December 2006: 109-114.
- Vincent, H.V., 2002. Carvacrol and Thymol Reduce Swine Waste Odour and Pathogens Stability of Oil. Curr. Microbiol., 44: 38-43.
- Yamin, 2009. Adrographis paniculata as Herbals. Popular Article. http://linkkissmart.com/tanaman-obat-daun-sambiloto/. Accessed Pebruary 1st 2013.