ISSN 1682-8356 ansinet.org/ijps



POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSImet

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com International Journal of Poultry Science 12 (9): 538-544, 2013 ISSN 1682-8356 © Asian Network for Scientific Information, 2013

Effect of Dietary Supplementation with Probiotic or Essential Oils on Growth Performance of Broiler Chickens

R. Chalghoumi¹, A. Belgacem¹, I. Trabelsi², Y. Bouatour³ and R. Bergaoui⁴

¹Department of Sciences of Animal Production, School of Higher Education in Agricultural-Mateur,

Route de Tabarka-7030 Mateur, Tunisia

²Laboratory of Microorganisms and Biomolecules, Center of Biotechnology of Sfax, B.P K-3038 Sfax, Tunisia

³ALFA Nutrition Animale, Road of Mahdia km 29-3036 El Amra, Sfax, Tunisia
 ⁴Laboratory of Research in Rural Economy, National Institute of Agronomy,
 43 av. Charles Nicole, 1082 Cité Mahrajéne-Tunis, Tunisia

Abstract: This experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of the dietary supplementation of a probiotic (Lactobacillus plantarum strain TN8) or a commercial blend of essential oils (clove and cinnamon essential oils) on growth performance of broiler chicken. The dietary treatments were: basal diet as control (C); basal diet plus probiotic (0,2% of Lactobacillus plantarum strain TN8 at 2×1010 cfu/g; P); basal diet plus essential oils blend (300 mg/kg; EOB). A total of 900 one-day old male broiler chicks were randomly allocated to 3 experimental groups of 6 replicates of 50 chicks each. The chicks were grown to 35 d of age. Body weight, feed intake and feed conversion were weekly determined. The mortality was recorded daily. Concerning weight gain, in the periods of 8-14, 15-21 and 22-28 days of age, the group fed the essential oils blend presented significantly (p<0.05) higher values as compared with the other treatments. No statistical difference was detected in feed intake among treatments in any of the evaluated age intervals. Feed conversion ratio differences were detected only in the second period of 8-14 days of age, with the group fed the essential oils blend presenting statistically (p<0.05) lesser FCR as compared with that fed the probiotic product, which were not different from the control group. Mortality was different (p<0.05) only in the periods of 0-7 and 8-14 days of age, which was higher in the control group as compared with that of the birds fed the probiotic product and those fed the essential oils blend. At the end of the rearing period (35 days of age), the results showed that the dietary supplementation with probiotic or essential oils led to a significant improvement (p<0.05) in body weight gain and a numerical, but non significant (p>0.05) improvement in feed conversion in relation to the control group. Based on these results it can be concluded that probiotic strain and essential oils dietary supplementation have a growth promoting effect on broilers.

Key words: Probiotic, essential oils, broiler, growth performance

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that antibiotics added to animal feeds as growth promoters allow intensive poultry production and improved feed conversion. However, this usage of antibiotic is possibly the most important factor that promotes the emergence and dissemination of antibiotic resistant microorganisms in both veterinary and human medicine. Therefore it is forbidden in EU countries since January 2006 and in Tunisia since 2010. Because of that it was necessary to find other alternatives. There is a huge variety of products for replacing antibiotic growth promoters and probiotics and plant extracts, particularly essential oils, are among the candidates for their replacement.

Probiotics beneficially affect the host animal by improving its intestinal balance (Fuller, 1989). They create gut conditions that suppress harmful

microorganisms and favor beneficial ones (Line *et al.*, 1998; Mead, 2000). They have been shown to maintain health by reducing risk diseases, possibly through a reduction in proliferation of pathogenic species, maintaining microbiota balance in the gut (Line *et al.*, 1998; Macfarlane and Cummings, 1999; Mountzouris *et al.*, 2007), boosting immune function (Zulkifli *et al.*, 2000; Kabir *et al.*, 2004) and increasing resistance to infection (Herich *et al.*, 1998; Aysigi *et al.*, 2005; Rekiel *et al.*, 2007). They have been also shown to improve the growth performance of poultry (Jin, 1997; Li *et al.*, 2008).

Essential oils are plant extracts derived from herbs or spices, which have beneficial effect on animal production and health. A large variety of the essential oils have properties which could potentially improve feed intake, digestion, feed conversion and body weight

gain (Lovkova et al., 2001; Williams and Losa, 2001; Ertas et al., 2005). The mode of action of these feed additives is not completely clear. They have antimicrobial, antiviral, antioxidant and many other biological activities (Ertas et al., 2005; Cross et al., 2007). They act as an appetite and a digestibility enhancers through a stimulation of the endogenous digestive enzymes secretion (Williams and Losa (2001), Lee et al., 2003). These traits made essential oils a promising replacement of antibiotic growth promoters. The objective of the current study was to examine

the objective of the current study was to examine the effects of dietary supplementation with probiotics or essential oils in broiler diets compared to standard broiler feed on the growth performance of broiler chicks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and diets: Nine hundred day of hatch male broiler chicks (Arbor acres) were obtained from a local hatchery. All chicks were spray vaccinated for New Castle and Gumboro at the hatchery and no other vaccinations were administered.

Chicks were raised in floor pens with wood shaving litter. Six replicates of 30 chicks were considered for each treatment.

The broilers were fed a typical corn-soybean meal basal diet in mesh form (Table 1). The basal diet was formulated to meet or exceed NRC (1994) requirements for starter (1 to 14 d) and grower (15 to 35 d).

Chicks were randomly assigned to one of three dietary treatments. The dietary groups were: (1) basal diet without any additive (negative control, C), (2) basal diet supplemented with 2 g/kg of a probiotic (*Lactobacillus plantarum* strain TN8, P) and (3) basal diet supplemented with 300 mg/kg of an essential oils blend (clove and cinnamon essential oils, EOB). The diets were isocaloric and isonitrogenous. Feed and water supply were ad libitum.

The probiotic preparation (*Lactobacillus plantarum* strain TN8, 2×10¹⁰ cfu/g) was supplied by the Center of Biotechnology of Sfax - Tunisia and it was isolated from the crop of healthy adult chicken. The essential oils blend compromise clove and cinnamon essential oils and was purchased from Photosynthese (France).

Broilers were observed daily and any mortality was removed and body weight recorded. Broilers were weighed at 7, 14, 21, 28 and 35 d and body weight gain, feed consumption and feed conversion were weekly calculated. Body weights from mortality were used to adjust feed consumption.

Statistical analysis: The data were analyzed as a completely randomized design, using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SAS (2001) and Duncan's Multiple Range test was used to detect (p<0.05) differences among treatment means.

Table 1: Ingredient composition and nutritional composition of basal diets

Ingredients and	1 to 14d	15 to 35d
composition (%)	starter (%)	grower (%)
Corn	56.12	60.79
Soybean meal, 48	33	31
Vegetable oil/soybean oil	3	3
Limestone	0.73	0.78
Salt	0.35	0.35
Dicalcium phosphate	1.60	1.04
Methionine	0.24	0.20
Vitamin-mineral premix1	4	4
Total	100	100
Calculated analysis		
Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg)	2900	3050
Crude protein (%)	22.5	19
Lysin (%)	1.1	0.92
Methionine (%)	0.42	0.4
Methionine+cystine (%)	0.75	0.7
Calcium (%)	0.95	0.93
Available phosphorus (%)	0.45	0.42

 1 Vitamin-mineral premix (Alfa Nutrition Animal, Sfax, Tunisia), provides (per kg of diet): vitamin A (retinyl acetate), 12 188 IU; cholecalciferol, 2438 IU; vitamin E (DL-α-tocopheryl acetate), 18.3 IU; pantothenic acid, 42.6 mg; vitamin B₁, 1.2 mg; vitamin B₂, 7.3 mg; vitamin B₃, 9.7 mg; vitamin B₆, 1.2 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 0.024 mg; vitamin K₂, 1.2 mg; folic acid, 0.62 mg; choline chloride, 622.2 mg; calcium, 8784 mg; phosphorus, 3660 mg; sodium, 366 mg; magnesium 36.6 mg; iodine, 0.59 mg; cobalt, 0.59 mg; copper, 2.42 mg; iron, 45.75 mg; manganese, 97.36 mg; zinc, 85.39 mg; selenium, 0.11 mg; methionine, 1647 mg.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effects of dietary treatment on averaged daily weight gain, feed intake, FCR and mortality are shown in Table 2.

Average daily weight gain: In the period of 0-7 of age, no statistical differences between treatments were observed (p<0.05) for the daily weight gain. This parameter, in the subsequent three periods (7-14; 15-21 and 22-28 days of age), was higher in the group fed the EOB as compared with birds fed the probiotic product and the control group (p<0.05), which were not different. In the period of 29-35 days of age, the average daily weight gain of the two experimental groups were similar (p<0.05) and were not significantly different from the control group.

The improvement of body weight gain with the EOB treatment observed in this trial may be due to the active materials (cinnamaldehyde and eugenol) found in cinnamon, which are considered as digestion stimulating factors, in addition to their antimicrobial activity against pathogenic bacteria found in the intestine (Cabuk et al., 2003), thus resulting in greater efficiency in the utilization of feed and subsequently in enhanced growth. On the other hand there is a large number of studies which have not shown positive effect of using dietary EO supplementation on body weight gain (Vogt, 1990, 1991; Botsoglou et al., 2002;

Table 2: Effect of the experimental treatments on the growth performance of broilers at different periods of age1

Item	Treatments				
	Control	Probiotic	Essential oil blend	p-∨alue	SEM
0-7 days					
DWG (g/bird/d)	19.44	18.87	19.91	0.3687	0.521
FI (g/bird/d)	22.50	21.82	23.04	0.0982	0.611
FCR (g/bird/d)	1.15	1.15	1.15	0.9993	0.000
Mortality (%)	1.00₺	0.00°	0.00°	0.0251	0.577
8-14 days					
DWG (g/bird/d)	39.44 ^b	40.00b	46.97°	0.0299	4.195
FI (g/bird/d)	56.73	55.90	58.23	0.2252	1.181
FCR (g/bird/d)	1.43⁵	1.39⁵	1.23°	0.0260	0.106
Mortality (%)	1.36⁵	0.00°	0.00°	0.0268	0.785
15-21 days					
DWG (g/bird/d)	55.83 ^b	52.99₺	58.47°	0.0356	2.741
FI (g/bird/d)	85.23	83.08	89.83	0.2107	3.448
FCR (g/bird/d)	1.52	1.56	1.53	0.3061	0.021
Mortality (%)	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.4219	0.387
22-28 days					
DWG (g/bird/d)	63.54 ^b	64.17 ^b	70.80°	0.0482	4.022
FI (g/bird/d)	109.56	110.78	116.88	0.2609	3.922
FCR (g/bird/d)	1.72	1.72	1.65	0.0933	0.040
Mortality (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.7654	0.000
29-35 days					
DWG (g/bird/d)	71.88	74.76	75.50	0.2667	1.913
FI (g/bird/d)	134.67	139.83	140.07	0.0938	3.051
FCR (g/bird/d)	1.86	1.86	1.85	0.7513	0.006
Mortality (%)	2.07	2.00	1.35	0.8642	0.397

¹Data are means and SEM (Standard Error Mean) of 6 replicates of 50 broilers per pen.

Garcia et al., 2007). Barreto et al. (2008) found that the essential oils of cinnamon and clove, individually added to broiler feed at 200 mg/kg, failed to influence broiler performance. The authors explained such an absence in significant treatment effects by a lack of microbiological challenges or inactivity of the added substances or perhaps due to the dose of the tested active principles. Isabel and Santos (2009) also failed to observe any effect on the body weight or average daily gain, for the whole experimental period (46 days), when a blend of clove and cinnamon essential oils (100 mg/kg) was added to the feed. Mukhtar (2011) carried out a study of 35 days to determine the effect of the dietary addition of different levels (200, 400 and 600 mg/kg) of clove oil on broiler performances. At the age of 35 days, the author did not note any significant difference for body weight gain in the experimental broilers when compared with those fed on negative control diet.

When poultry age is taken into account, the different responses in the current trial regarding the weight gain parameter may suggest that dietary EO supplementation is more efficient during the mid-starting and growing phases. These findings agree with the work of Al-Kassie (2009), who observed, when using an inclusion level of 100 mg/kg of EO derived from thyme or cinnamon, that during the period of 1-21 days of age, EO supplemented broilers had significantly higher body weight gain when

compared with those of the negative control group, but the same did not occurred during the second period of measuring (22-42 age).

Without regards to the EO dose used in the present experiment and that employed by Al-Kassie (2009), results of the two studies seem to indicate that the efficacy or inefficacy of EO may be related to the birds age. It is well known that the younger birds are more susceptible to stress factors than the older birds. The presence of health challenges and stress in the rearing environment determine the efficacy of the EO. So this could explain why young birds responded more efficiently to the dietary EO supplementation than the older ones.

The lack of positive effect of probiotic treatment on broiler weight gain observed in this trial is in agreement with other studies (Watkins and Kratzer, 1984; Maiolino et al., 1992; Bitterncourt et al., 2011). On the contrary, there are many studies which have reported positive effects of using different strains of probiotics on this parameter (Jin et al., 1998; Zulkifli et al., 2000; Kabir et al., 2004; Li et al., 2008; Peric et al., 2010). These contradicting results indicate that the efficacy of probiotic application many factors including species depends on composition of probiotics, administration levels, application methods, overall diet composition, bird age and environmental factors as it has been suggested by Jin (1997), Jin et al. (1998) and Patterson and Brukholder (2003).

^{ab}Means within a row with no common superscript differ significantly (p<0.05).

Feed intake: For the variable feed intake, no statistical differences among treatments were observed during the all periods of age, but chicks fed on diet supplemented with EOB showed numerically more in feed intake. These results are in line with those of Isabel and Santos (2009), Mukhtar (2011) and Aguilar et al. (2013) who did not found significant differences in feed consumption when evaluating EO as natural growth promoters in broilers feeding. In this study, the lack of significant difference in relation to the control diet could be explained by the fact that the poultry may not acutely respond to flavor as previously suggested by Moran (1982), although there is an evidence to suggest that herbs, spices and various plant extracts have appetite and digestion stimulating properties (Kamel, 2001). In this sense, Lee et al. (2004) have found that adding the cinnamon to the diet of broilers increased their feed consumption. Same result was also reported by Al-Kassie (2009) when they assessed different inclusion levels of essential oil in the diet. The authors found that extract oil derived from thyme and cinnamon in broiler diets improved significantly the feed intake. They attributed this effect to active principles (thymol, carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde and eugenol) present in these plants which are considered as digestion stimulating factors (Cabuk et al., 2003).

The similar conclusion can be drawn for the addition of probiotic in broiler feed. The lack of influence of probiotic treatment on feed intake was also observed by Maiorka et al. (2001), when testing probiotic (Bacillus subtilis) product, as well as by Pelicano et al. (2004), who evaluated probiotics (Bacillus subtilis or blend of Lactobacillus acidophilus. Lactobacillus casei. Streptococcus lactis, Streptococcus faecium, Bifidobacterium bifidum and Aspergillus oryzae) and did not observe any difference in feed intake with the dietary incorporation of these products. However, other experiments have showed the effect of probiotics on the feed intake of broilers. Correa (2003) tested different probiotics in broiler diets (Bacillus subtllis, Bacillus tovoi, Lactobacillus acidophilus. Lactobacillus casei. Streptococcus salivarium, Streptococcus faecium and Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and observed lower feed intake in the group fed one of the probiotics relative to the control group in the period of 0-21 days of age, which was also observed by Zulkifli et al. (2000). Boratto (2004), however, in the same period, found higher feed intake in broilers fed probiotics, in relation to the control group.

Feed conversion ratio: For FCR, statistical difference was detected only in the second experimental period (7-14 days of age), with the group fed the EOB presenting better FCR as compared with that fed the probiotic or the control group (p<0.05). Moreover, in the others evaluated age intervals, birds in EOB group

recorded the lesser values of FCR. These results are consistent with those of other studies: Hernandez et al. (2004), Isabel and Santos (2009) and Sang-Oh et al. (2013) reported, respectively that he feed efficiency of broilers fed a diet supplemented with cinnamon, blend of clove and cinnamon or cinnamon powder was similar to that of broilers fed an unsupplemented diet. Barreto et al. (2008) found also that the essential oils of cinnamon and clove individually added to broiler feed at 200 mg/kg, failed to influence FCR. However, other experiments in which different EO were added to broilers diets have showed the effect of EO on the FCR of broilers (Tedesco, 2001; Kluth et al., 2002; Alcicek et al., 2003; Osman et al., 2005). In theses studies the FCR-improving effect was attributed to a more efficient use of nutrients as suggested by Devriese et al. (1993) when they tried to explain the beneficial effect of growth promoter substances.

During all periods of measures, the probiotic treatment showed no positive effect on feed conversion ratio compared to the control group (p>0.05). This result is consistent with that of Perić *et al.* (2010), Rocha *et al.* (2010) and Bitterncourt *et al.* (2011) who did not detect statistical difference in feed conversion ratio among broilers group fed probiotic and control group in treatments in none of the studied intervals of age. Other authors, however, obtained better feed conversion ratio in broilers fed probiotics in the periods of 0-21 days (Corrêa *et al.*, 2003; Maiorka *et al.*, 2001; Pelicano *et al.*, 2004; Zulkifli *et al.*, 2000) and 0-40 days (Boratto *et al.*, 2004; Maiorka *et al.*, 2001).

Mortality: Mortality differences were observed only in the periods of 0-7, 8-14 days of age, with higher values in the control group as compared with the probiotic and the EOB groups (p<0.05). In addition, in the same periods, the group fed the probiotic was not different in relation to the group fed the EOB. Considering the other experimental periods, no influence of tested feed additives on mortality was detected.

On the use of probiotics in broilers diet, this results observed in this trial were similar to those found by Bitterncourt (2011) who found that mortality was different only in the period of 0-14 days of age and which was higher in the control group as compared with that of the birds fed the probiotic product, contrarily to the findings of Pelicano et al. (2004), who, in a study on the use of probiotics in broilers, observed at all experimental periods lesser mortality when these additives were added to the diet. Concerning the effect of the dietary supplementation of essential oils in broilers on mortality, responses observed in this experiment were in line with those of Mukhtar (2011). Authors found that chicks fed on diets contain clove oil recorded numerically the lowest rate of mortality compared to control group.

Table 3: Effect of the experimental treatments on the growth performance of broilers during the total rearing period (1-35 days of age)¹

Item	Control	Probiotic	Essential oils blend	p-∨alue	SEM
Initial weight (g/bird)	40.75	41.11	41.32	0.2799	0,288
Final weight (g/bird)	1721.67°	1796.67₺	1886.80°	0.0147	82,680
Feed intake (g/bird)	2860.83b	2900.87b	2996.07°	0.0334	69,469
Weight gain (g/bird)	1680.92°	1755.56b	1845.48°	0.0051	82,398
Feed conversion ratio	1.70°	1.65°	1.62°	0.0999	0,040
Mortality (%)	5.03b	2.00°	1.35°	0.0019	1,964

¹Data are means and SEM (Standard Error of Mean) of 6 replicates of 50 broilers per pen.

Considering the performances of broilers during the entire rearing period (1-35 days of age), obtained are shown in Table 3 shows the performance results of broilers during the entire rearing period (1-35 days of age). There were significant differences in final body weight, weight gain and feed intake between dietary treatments (p<0.05). The birds fed on diet supplemented with the EOB presented higher final weight, weight gain and feed intake as compared with the other broilers (control and probiotic groups). The birds receiving the diet containing the probiotic had a higher final weight and weight gain than those of the control group (p<0.05), but difference in feed intake was not significant between the two groups. No statistical difference was detected in feed conversion ratio among the three dietary treatments during the whole experimental period. However birds fed on diet without additives (probiotic/EOB) recorded numerically the worse FCR. For mortality, broilers fed on diet containing probiotic or EOB had similar mortality rate, which was significantly lower than that of the control broilers.

These results agree partially with the work of Al-Kassie (2009), who found that the supplementation of 200 mg/kg oil extract derived cinnamon in broiler diets significantly improved the overall growth performance traits (weight gain, feed intake and FCR) as compared to control broiler during a growing period of 6 weeks. Indeed, in the present experiment which was carried out for 5 weeks only, difference in the overall FCR was not significant. Nevertheless birds fed on diet containing EOB recorded numerically the lowest FCR. The significant improvement of body weight gain and feed intake and the slight amelioration of FCR may be due to the active materials (Cinnamaldehyde and eugenol) found in cinnamon, causing greater efficiency in the utilization of feed, resulting in enhanced growth. There is an evidence to suggest that herbs, spices and various plant extracts have appetite and digestion stimulating properties (Kamel, 2001). These results agree with the work of Lee et al. (2004).

Based on these results it can be concluded that probiotic strain (*Lactobacillus plantarum* strain TN8) and clove and cinnamon essential oils under investigation can enhance growth performance when these additives are added to the animals feed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by ALFA-Nutrition Animale, Sfax, Tunisia, which has also provided the feed and purchased the essential oils blend from Phytosynthesis, France. The authors wish to acknowledge the Center of Biotechnology of Sfax for providing the probiotic strain.

REFERENCES

Aguilar, C.A.L., K.R. De Souza Lima, M.C. Manno, F.B. Tavares, V.P. De Souza and D.L. Fernandes Neto, 2013. Effect of copaiba essential oil on broiler chickens' performance. Acta Scientiarum. Anim. Sci., 35: 145-151.

Alcicek, A., M. Bozkurt and M. C abuk, 2003. The effect of an essential oil combination derived from selected herbs growing wild in Turkey on broiler performance. S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci., 33: 89-94.

Al-Kassie, G.A.M., 2009. Influence of two plant extracts derived from thyme and cinnamon on broiler performance. Pak. Vet. J., 29: 169-173.

Ayisigi, K., C. Atasoglu, I.Y. Yurtman, M. Mendes and A. Pala, 2005. Effect of probiotic supplementation shortly before and after weaning on growth of Turkish Saanen kids. Arch. Tierz 48, 601-611.

Barreto, M. S. R., J. F. M. Menten, A. M. C. Racanicci, P. W. Z. Pereira and P.V. Rizzo, 2008. Plant extracts used as growth promoters in broilers. Bras. J. Poult. Sci., 10: 109-115.

Bitterncourt, L.C., C.C. Da Silva, P.D. Silva Rangel Garcia, D.C. Zanardo Donato, R. De Albuquerque and L. F. Araujo, 2011. Influence of a probiotic on broiler performance. R. Bras. Zootec., 40: 2739-2743

Boratto, A.J, 2004. Uso de antibiotico, de probiotico e de homeopatia, inoculados ou nao com Escherichia coli, para frangos de corte criados em conforto. R. Bras. Zootec., 33:1477-1485.

Botsoglou, N. A., P. Florou-Paner, E. Christaki, D.J. Fletouris and A.B. Spais, 2002. Effect of dietary oregano essential oil on performance of chickens and on iron-induced lipid oxidation of breast, thigh and abdominal fat tissues. Br. Poult. Sci., 43: 223-230.

Cabuk, M., A. Alcicek, Bozkurt and N. Imre, 2003. Antimicrobial properties of essential oils isolated from aromatic plants and using possibility as alternative feed additives. 11. National Animal Nutrition Congress, pp. 184-187.

abcMeans within a row with no common superscript differ significantly (p<0.05).

- Corrêa, G.S.S., 2003. Efeitos de antibiótico e probióticos sobre desempenho e rendimento de carcaca de frangos de corte. Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec., 55: 35-41.
- Cross, D.E., R.M. McDevitt, K. Hillman and T. Acamovic, 2007. The effect of herbs and their associated essential oils on performance, dietary digestibility and gut microflora in chickens from 7 to 28 days of age. Br. Poult. Sci., 48: 496-506.
- Devriese, L. A., G. Daube, J. Hommez and F. Haese-brouck, 1993. In vitro susceptibility of Clostridium perfringens isolated from farm animals to growth-enhancing antibiotics. J. Appl. Bacteriol., 75: 55-57.
- Ertas, O.N., T. Guler, M. C¸iftc¸i, B. Dalklllc and U.G. Simsek, 2005. The effect of an essential oil mix derived from oregano, clove and anise on broiler performance. Int. J. Poult. Sci, 4: 879-884.
- Fuller, R., 1989. Probiotics in man and animals. J. Appl. Bacteriol., 66: 365-378.
- Garcia, V., P. Catala-Gregori, F. M. Hernandez, M. D. Megias and J. Madrid, 2007. Effect of formic acid and plant extracts on growth, nutrient digestibility, intestine mucosa morphology and meat yield of broilers. J. App. Poul. Res., 16: 555-562.
- Herich, R., A. Boimba, R. Nemcova, S. Gancarcikova, 1998. The influence of application of probiotic on the immune system of 2-3 month old calves. Arch. Tierz., 41: 565-572.
- Hernandez, F., J. Madrid, V. Garcia, J. Orengo and M. D. Megias, 2004. Influence of two plant extracts on brolier performance, digestibility and digestive organs size. Poult. Sci., 83: 169-174.
- Isabel, B. and Y. Santos, 2009. Effects of dietary organic acids and essential oils on growth performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. J. Appl. Poult. Res., 18: 472-476
- Jin, L.Z., 1997. Probiotic in poultry: modes of action. World's Poult. Sci. J., 53: 351-368.
- Jin, L.Z., Y.W. Ho, N. Abdullah and S. Jalaludin, 1998. Growth performance, intestinal microbial populations and serum cholesterol of broilers fed diets containing Lactobacillus cultures. Poult. Sci., 77: 1259-1265.
- Kabir, S.M.L., M.M. Rahman and M.B. Rahman, 2004. The dynamics of probiotics on growth performance and immune response in broilers. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 3: 361-364.
- Kamel, C., 2001. Tracing methods of action and roles of plant extracts in non-ruminants. In: Garns Worthy, P. C. and Wiseman J. (Eds.), Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition. Nottingham University Press, Nothingham, UK, pp: 86-104.

- Kluth, H., E. Schulz, I. Halle and M. Rodehutscord, 2002. About the efficiency of herbs and essentials oils in pigs and poultry. In: Rodehutscord, M. (Eds.), 7th Pig and Poultry Feed Conference Proc. Martin-Luther-University, Halle Wittenberg, Germany, pp: 66–74.
- Lee, K.W., H. Everts, H.J. Kappert, M. Frehner, R. Losa and A.C. Beynen, 2003. Effects of dietary essential oil components on growth performance, digestive enzymes and lipid metabolism in female broiler chickens. Br. Poult. Sci., 44: 450-457.
- Lee, K.W., H. Everts, H. J. Kappert, H. Wouterse, M. Frehner and A.C. Beynen, 2004. Cinnamanaldehyde, but not thymol, counteracts the carboxymethyl cellulose-induced growth depression in female broiler chickens. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 3: 608-612.
- Li, X., L. Qiang, Liu and C.H. Xu, 2008. Effects of supplementation of fructooligosaccharide and/or Bacillus Subtilis to diets on performance and on intestinal microflora in broilers. Arch. Tierz., 51: 64-70.
- Line, E.J., S.J. Bailey, N.A. Cox, N.J. Stern and T. Tompkins, 1998. Effect of yeast-supplemented feed on Salmonella and Campylobacter populations in broilers. Poult. Sci., 77: 405-410.
- Lovkova, M.Y., G.N. Buzuk, S.M. Sokolova and I. Klimenteva, 2001. Chemical features of medicinal plants (Review). Appl. Biochem. Microbiol., 37: 229-237.
- Macfarlane, G.T. and J.H. Cummings, 1999. Probiotics and prebiotics: can regulating the activities of intestinal bacteria benefit health? Br. Med. J., 318: 999-1003.
- Maiolino, R., A. Fioretti, L.F. Menna and C. Meo, 1992. Research of the efficiency of probiotics in diets for broiler chickens. Nutr. Abstr. Rew. Series B., 62: 482.
- Maiorka, A., E. Santin and S.M. Sugeta, 2001. Utilizacao de prebioticos, probioticos e simbioticos em dietas para frangos.
- Mead, G.C., 2000. Prospects for competitive exclusion treatment to control salmonellas and other foodborne pathogens in poultry. Vet. J., 159: 111-123.
- Moran, E.T.J., 1982. Comparative nutrition of fowl and swine. The gastrointestinal system. Office for Educational Practice, University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada.
- Mountzouris, K.C., P. Tsirtisikos and E. Kalamara, 2007. Evaluation of the efficacy of a probiotic containing Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Enterococcus and Pediococcus strains in promotion broiler performance and modulation cecal microflora composition and metabolic activies. Poult. Sci, 86: 309-317.

- Mukhtar, A.M., 2011. The effect of dietary clove oil on broiler performance. Aust. J. Basic and Appl. Sci., 5: 49-51.
- National Research Council (NRC), 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th rev. ed. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Osman, N., G. Talat, C. Mehmet, D. Bestami and G. Simsek, 2005. The effect of an essential oil mix derived from oregano, clove and aniseed on broiler performance. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 4: 879-884.
- Patterson, J.A. and M.K. Burkholder, 2003. Application of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry production. Poult. Sci., 82: 627-631.
- Pelicano, E.R.L., R.A. Souza and H.B.A. Souza, 2004. Productive traits of broiler chickens fed diets containing differents growth promoters. Bras. J. Poult. Sci., 6: 177-182.
- Peric, L., N. Milosevic, D. Zikic, S. Bjedov, D. Cvetkovic, S. Markov, M. Mohnl and T. Steiner, 2010. Effects of probiotic and phytogenic products on performance, gut morphology and cecal microflora of broiler chickens. Arch. Tierz., 53: 350-359.
- Rekiel, A., J. Wiecek, W. Bielecki, J. Gajewska, M. Cichowicz, J. Kulisiewicz, M. Batorska, T. Roszkowski and K. Beyga, 2007. Effect of addition of feed antibiotic flavomycin, or prebiotic BIO-MOS on production results of fatteners, blood biochemical parmeters, morphometric indices of intestine and composition of microflora. Arch. Tierz., 50: 172-180.

- Rocha, A.P., R.D. Abreu and M.C. Costa, 2010. Prebioticos, acidos orga^nicos e probioticos em racoes para frangos de corte. Rev. Bras. Prod. Anim., 11: 793-801.
- Sang-Oh, P., R. Chae-Min, P. Byung-Sung and H. Jong, 2013. The meat quality and growth performance in broiler chickens fed diet with cinnamon powder. J. Envir. Biol., 34: 127-133.
- SAS, 2001. SAS/STAT Users Guide for Personal Computer. Release 6.18. SAS Institute Inc., New York, USA.
- Tedesco, D., 2001. The potentially of herbs and plant extracts as feed additives in livestock production. Zootec. Nutr. Anim., 27:111-133.
- Vogt, H., 1990. The effect of a mixture of essential oils in broiler diets. Landbauforshung Volkenrode, 40: 157-159.
- Vogt, H., 1991. Essential oils in broiler diets. Landbauforshung Volkenrode, 41: 94-97.
- Watkins, B.A. and E.H. Kratzer, 1984. Drinking water treatment with commercial preparation of a concentrated Lactobacillus culture for broiler chickens. Poult. Sci., 62: 1671-1673.
- Williams, P. and R. Losa, 2001. The use of essential oils and their compounds in poultry nutrition. World poultry-Elsevier, 17: 14-15.
- Zulkifli, I., N. Abdullah, N. Mohd. Azrin and Y.W. Ho, 2000. Growth performance and immune response of two commercial broiler strains fed diets containing Lactobacillus. Br. Poult. Sci., 41: 593-597.