ISSN 1682-8356 ansinet.org/ijps



# POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSImet

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com

# Effect of Different Protein Levels on Arabi Chicken Performance

H. Al-Khalifa and A. Al-Nasser Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research, P.O. Box 24885, 13109 Safat, Kuwait

Abstract: It is important to note that feeding cost can reach up to 60-75% of the total farm production cost. This study investigated some feeding management practices needed by the Arabi chickens during the brooding phase. Three different feed rations were fed to Arabi chickens and the effect of these feed rations on the performance was evaluated. These feed rations contained three different levels of dietary protein: 18, 21 and 22%. Variables measured included body weight feed consumption and feed efficiency. Results showed that there was no significantly different protein levels indicating that using a diet with 18% protein level is as satisfactory as those with 21 and 22%. Therefore, a lower protein level can be possibly fed reducing the cost of feed. In conclusion, feeding a diet treatment with 18% protein is recommended for the Arabi chickens.

Key words: Arabi chickens, feed efficiency, brooding

### INTRODUCTION

Rural small scale or family poultry production has been traditionally practiced in many developing countries for many generations as an important source of income and nutrition (Alders and Pym, 2009; FAO, 2003; Alemu, 1995; Anderson, 2011). Long ago, poultry fanciers in Kuwait started selection and breeding of several local chicken strains to obtain the Arabi chickens. That breed has been an important source for food protein and used as a show bird by local people. Productive performance of these birds was considered to be secondary as far as commercial purposes are concerned. It was concluded by Al-Nasser et al. (1998a) that there is no pure Kuwaiti chicken breed but a mixture of backvard chicken breeds were introduced to the country since more than 30 years ago. These non-commercial chicken breeds proved to be highly resistant to local adverse environmental conditions (Al-Haddad et al., 2005). They are characterized by their small to medium size, various plumage color and different comb shapes (Al-Haddad et al., 2005; Al-Khalaifa and Al-Nasser, 2006; Al-Nasser et al., 1998a). The Arabi chickens are considered to be dual-purpose birds that can be used for both meat and egg production. They produce high quality eggs with different eggshell milky colors. However, their average egg production is only 150 eggs /hen/year (Al-Haddad et al., 2005) As compared to commercial chicken production of 325 eggs /hen/year (Bell and Weaver, 2001; Al-Yousef, 2007; Baset et al., 2000; Eriksson et al., 2008).

Little is known about the performance of the local Arabi chickens and their management in the state of Kuwait considerably among local farmers who usually feed their birds commercial rations. In a study conducted at Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research (KISR), production

performance of Arabi chicken groups in Kuwait was evaluated. Production performance of the different groups of chickens was studied. The results indicated that the Arabi chickens have different feather colors with the yellow chicken having the highest egg production and most adapted strain of Arabi chickens to local environment (Al-Haddad *et al.*, 2005).

Formulating feed rations for the local breeds is a debating issue as these chickens are usually capable of grazing pasture to obtain their need. The nature of the vegetation in a pasture would considerably affect the quality of the land and consequently the performance of chickens (Gordon et al., 2003). It is reported that chickens feed on a wide range of small invertebrates living in the upper layer of soil such as beetles, spiders and earthworms. As a result, the protein content of that animal source will add to the protein of formulated feed rations (Clark and Gage, 1996). Bassler et al. (1999) reported that the earthworm contain per kg 610 g protein and 42 g lysine that can be utilized by pasture chickens. However, it is recommended that supplementary feed complement the feed scavenged by the birds. Addition of vitamins and minerals is essential to optimize the performance of the range chickens (Dessie and Ogle, 1996). It was reported by Rashid et al. (2004) that during the brooding period, 22% crude protein feed supplementation would improve growth rate and feed conversion much more than supplementation of 18% and 20% protein diet. In the same study, authors showed that feed supplementation with 19% crude protein during the growing period improved feed conversion of the bird compared to supplementation with 15% protein. Also, Schmidt (2000) showed that the domestic chicken in rural Bangladesh under uncomplimentary traditional management might have

survival rate four to six times more than that of birds under traditional management. The objective of the current study is to investigate the effect of three different feed rations on the performance of starter Arabi chickens raised in Kuwait.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The effect of different protein levels on bird performance was studied. A total of 171 one-day old Arabi chicks, vaccinated against Infectious Bronchitis (IB) were randomly housed in 9 brooding pens containing 19 chicks per pen (120x60 cm) with 0.04 m² for each bird until they are 3 weeks of age. One feeder and one waterer were used in each brooding pen. There was a continuous supply of drinking water and feed. Step-down lighting and temperature programs were applied and the relative humidity was kept in the range of 60-70 % throughout the experiment period. Three dietary protein treatments 21 (control), 18 and 22% were used with 3

Table 1: Diet composition of the different feed rations during the brooding period

brooding period					
	Protein %				
Ingredients (%)	21	18	22		
Corn	58.36	65.460	55.860		
Soybean	31.700	25.000	34.200		
Salt	0.380	0.380	0.380		
Limestone	1.900	1.900	1.900		
Di Cal	1.500	1.500	1.500		
DL Methionine	0.060	0.060	0.060		
layer premix	0.500	0.500	0.500		
Wheat Bran	5.000	5.000	5.000		
Soybean Oil	0.600	0.200	0.600		
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00		

replicates per treatment. The rations were formulated using Least Cost Formulation Program. Diet composition of the different feed rations is shown in Table 1. Body weight gain and feed efficiency were calculated on weekly basis. Temperature, relative humidity and mortality were monitored and recorded on a daily basis. Data collected was analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) where protein level was the main factor and means were separated using Duncan's multiple range test (SAS Institute, 1996). The level of energy was identical among the different dietary treatments.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The current study was conducted to improve the performance efficiency of the Arabi chickens in Kuwait by investigating the effect of three different levels of crude protein on performance of that bird during the growth. The rations contained different levels of dietary protein: 18, 21 and 22%. No mortality among the birds was recorded and no signs of diseases were observed during the experimental period. The effect of feeding Arabi chickens 18, 21 and 22% dietary protein on body weight, feed consumption and feed efficiency is shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The results showed that there was no significant difference in the effect of protein level on performance. In other words, using a diet containing 18% protein did not adversely affect the performance of the Arabi chickens during the brooding period.

For many years, the trend of raising poultry in the world has been using an intensive management system, using commercial chicken which has been also the

Table 2: Effect of Dietary Treatments on the Body Weight (g/b) at Different Ages of Arabi Chickens

Age	Dietary Treatment			
	 18%		22%	
At Hatch	30.9°±0.346	30.9°±0.346	30.733°±0.635	
1st week	61.23°±3.0836	60.7°±2.233	61.933°±0.5773	
2 <sup>nd</sup> week	107.533b±6.211	110.8°± 4.979	105.66°±16.810	
3 <sup>rd</sup> Week	151.227°±12.469	163.33°±4.47	158.77°±0.804	
Overall Mean	87.72°±48.125	91.43°±52.708	89.276°±50.800	

Values are means±Standard Deviation (SD)

Means with the same letter superscript are not significantly different (p = 0.05)

Table 3: Effect of Dietary Treatments on Feed Consumption (g/bird/period) of Arabian Chickens

Age	Dietary treatment			
	 18%	21%	22%	
At Hatch				
1 <sup>st</sup> week	119.00°±1.000	116.0°±1.00	118.00°± 2.000	
2 <sup>nd</sup> week	344.033°± 5.272	339.33°± 5.507	340.00b±10.00	
3 <sup>rd</sup> Week	436.633°±18.359	419.67° ±23.352	422.33°±17.04	
Overall Mean	299.89°±141.790	291.67°±136.792	293.44°±136.68	

Values are means±Standard Deviation (SD)

Means with the same letter superscript are not significantly different (p = 0.05)

Table 4. Effect of Dietary Treatments on Feed Efficiency of Arabi Chickens

Age	Dietary treatment			
	 18%	21%	 22%	
At Hatch				
1st week	2.433°± 0.0577	2.433°±0.0115	0.230°±0.0577	
2 <sup>nd</sup> week	2.767°±0.0577	2.733°±0.1965	0.343°±0.0577	
3 <sup>rd</sup> Week	2.333°±0.0577	0.2316°±0.1246	2.567°±0.1145	
O∨erall Mean	2.511°±0.203	2.577°±0.148	2.377°±0.243	

Values are means±Standard Deviation (SD)

Means with the same letter superscript are not significantly different (p = 0.05)

case in Kuwait. This is true for both poultry meat and table egg production (Al-Nasser et al., 1998b,c). production of poultry meat and eggs is considered as critical need because these products are major food items all over the world, including Kuwait. Per capita consumption of broiler poultry meat in Kuwait reached 75 Kg per person in 2010 (USDA, 2010); due to increase the consumer's health awareness and the shift from red meat consumption to poultry meat consumption. The poultry industry in Kuwait succeeded in covering only 35-38% of poultry meat consumption and 55% of table eggs (Minisry of Planning, 2004). Therefore, improving the performance of the Arabi chicken in Kuwait would fulfill the need of supporting food security by providing the people with good sources of high quality meat and eggs. Also, it provides scientists with valuable information that will help in further research in this valuable field. Also, there is a recent shift in the trend of raising poultry towards using more natural conditions such as the open door environment. The local chickens are the more suitable than the commercial ones because they are tolerant to the harsh environmental condition and resistant to diseases (Fayeye et al., 2006; Abdelgader et al., 2008a; Mungube et al., 2008).

In addition to food security, improving performance of Arabi chickens in Kuwait would help in conserving and enhancing biological diversity of poultry species in the country on the genetics and species level. Genetic diversity in local chickens will be preserved, this will add to the genetics pool of poultry species worldwide (Abdelqader *et al.*, 2008b; Wimmers *et al.*, 2000; Soller *et al.*, 2006; Kaya and Yildiz, 2008). Accordingly, more focus should be given to the Arabi chickens in respect to their conservation and improvement.

**Conclusion:** Since feeding cost reaches 70% of the total farm operation expenses and in order to reduce cost of raising Arabi chickens, a protein level of 18% is recommended.

### **REFERENCES**

Abdelqader, A., M. Gauly, C.B.A. Wollny and M.N. Abo-Shehada, 2008a. prevalence and burden of gastrointestinal helminthes among local chickens, in northern Jordan. Preventive Vet. Med., 85: 17-22.

- Abdelqader, A., C.B.A. Wollny and M. Gauly, 2008b Onfarm investigation of local chicken biodiversity and performance potentials in rural areas of Jordan. Anim. Genetic Resources, 43: 49-57.
- Al-haddad, A., A. Al-nasser, M. Mashaly and A. Al-saffar, 2005. Study of the Production Efficiency of t he Acclimatized Al-Arabi Chicken (FA031G)Technical Report. KISR 7997.
- Al-khalaifa, H. and A. Al-nasser, 2006. Improve Arabian Chickens Performance in Kuwait by Nutrition and Feeding Management: Phase I-Growing Period (FA066C). Final Report. KISR No. 8379.
- Al-nasser, A., A. Al-haddad, A. Al-saffar and K. Holleman, 1998a. Classification and Evaluation of Non-Commercial Poultry Breeds in Kuwait Technical Report (FA001G), KISR No. 7818.
- Al-nasser, A., K. Holleman, H. Al-khalifa, A. Al-saffar and A.H. A, 1998b. Improvement of Broiler Performance. Technical Report. KISR 5319.
- Al-nasser, A., K. Holleman, A. Al-saffar, S. Behbehani, H. Al-khalaifa and A. Al-Haddad, 1998c. Improving Egg Production Efficiency. ISR Annual Res. Report, 45-48.
- Al-Yousef, Y.M., 2007. A Survey Study on the Distribution of Saudi Baladi Chickens and Their Characteristics. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 6: 289-292.
- Alders, R.G. and R.A.E. Pym, 2009. Village poultry: still important to millions, eight thousand years after domestication. World's Poult. Sci. J., 65: 181-190.
- Alemu, Y., 1995. Poultry production in Ethiopia. World's Poult. Sci. J., 51: 197-201.
- Anderson, K.E., 2011 Comparison of fatty acid, cholesterol and vitamin A and E composition in eggs from hens housed in conventional cage and range production facilities. Poult. Sci., 90: 1600-1608.
- Baset, M.A., M.M. Bhuiyan and M.M. Islam, 2000. Performance of Fayoumi chicken under different rearing systems in Sylhet District. Bangladesh J. Anim. Sci., 29: 105-110.
- Bassler, A., P. Ciszuk and K. Sjelin, 1999. Management of laying hens in mobile houses-a review of experiences Ecological Animal Husbandry in the Nordic Countries. *Proceedings of NJF Seminar*. Denmark Danish Research Centre for Organic Farming.

- Bell, D. and W. Weaver, 2001. Commercial Chicken Meat and Egg Production, 5th Edition. Chapter: Egg Production and Egg Weight Standards for Table Egg Layers. Page: 1079-1090.
- Clark, M.S. and S.H. Gage, 1996. The effects of domestic chickens and geese on insect pests and weeds in an agroecosystem. Am. J. Alternat. Agric., 11: 39-47.
- Dessie, T. and B.A. Ogle, 1996. A Survey of Village Poultry Production in the Central Highlands of Ethiopia. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Animal Nutrition and Management.
- Eriksson, J., G. Larson, U. Gunnarsson, B. Bedhom, W tixier-boichard, L. Strimstedt, D. Wright, A. Jungerius, A. Vereijken, E. Randi, P. Jensen and L. Andersson, 2008. Identification of the Yellow Skin Gene Reveals a Hybrid Origin of the Domestic Chicken. *PLoS Genet*, 4, e1000010.
- FAO, 2003. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Prospects for aggregate agriculture and major commodity groups. In: World agriculture: towards 2015/2030. An FAO Perspective. Pp 85-95.
- Fayeye, T.R., K.L. Ayorinde and V. Ojo, 2006. Frequency and influence of some major genes on body weight and body size parameters of Nigerian local chickens. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, Retrieved November 8, 2012, from http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd18/3/faye18037.htm
- Gordon, S., A. Walker and S. Gordon, 2003. Symposium on 'Nutrition of farm animals outdoors' Intake of nutrients from pasture by poultry. Proceedings Nutr. Soc., 62: 253-256.
- Kaya, M. and M. Yildiz, 2008. Genetic Diversity Among Turkish Native Chickens, Denizli and Gerze, Estimated by Microsatellite Markers. Biochem. Gen., 46: 480-491.

- Minisry of Planning, 2004. Annual Statistical Abstract, Agriculture and Livestock, Chapter VII.40:143-154 Kuwait.
- Mungube, E., S. Bauni, B.A. tenhagen, L. Wamae, S. Nzioka, L. Muhammed and J. Nginyi, 2008. Prevalence of parasites of the local scavenging chickens in a selected semi-arid zone of Eastern Kenya. Trop. Anim. Health Produc., 40: 101-109.
- Rashid, M.M., N. Islam, B.C. Roy, K. Jakobsen and C. Lauridsen, 2004. Effect of dietary supplementation of energy and protein on production performance and egg quality of scavenging crossbred hens in rural areas under tropical conditions. Livestock Res. Rural Dev., 16 (8) Art. # 63. Retrieved November 4, 2012, from http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd16/8/rash16063.htm
- SAS institute, 1996. SAS user's guide: Statistics. Cary, NC: SAS Institute.
- Schmidt, 2000. Semi scavenging poultry production model: Role in egg and meat production in rural Bangladesh. Report of the XX world's Poultry Congress.
- Soller, M., S. Weigend, M.N. Romanov, J.C. Dekkers and S.J. Lamont, 2006. Strategies to Assess Structural Variation in the Chicken Genome and its Associations with Biodiversity and Biological Performance. Poult. Sci., 85: 2061-2078.
- USDA, 2010. Livestock and Poultry: World Market Trade, United States Department of Agriculture.
- Wimmers, K., S. Ponsuksili, T. Hardge, A. Valle-zarate, A., P.K. Mathur and P. Horst, 2000. Genetic distinctness of African, Asian and South American local chickens. Anim. Gen., 31: 159-165.