ISSN 1682-8356 ansinet.org/ijps



# POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSImet

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com

# Mortality in Chicks Associated with Economic Impact and Prospect of Layer Chick Rearer Package Programme of the Participatory Livestock Development Project in Bangladesh

M.T. Islam and M.A. Samad

Department of Medicine, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

E-mail: taohidmtuq@yahoo.com

Abstract: The Participatory Livestock Development Project (PLDP) has been implemented in Bangladesh for the alleviation of poverty through livestock production, employment, income generation and intake of nutrition in rural people. The management and disease problems with cost-benefit analysis of the layer chick rearer package programme of the PLDP have been evaluated in randomly selected 10 layer chick rearing units (n=3100 chicks) from day-old chicks up to 60 days to marketing of Muktagacha areas in the district of Mymensingh during the period from August to December 2002. It appears from the results of this study that 12.87% chicks died within 60 days of age inspite of chick reared in intensive management system and provided vaccines against common infectious diseases. The diagnosed diseases associated with mortality of chicks included infectious bursal disease (37.6%), coccidiosis (13.28%), chronic respiratory disease (13.28%) and other conditions (35.84%). The highest mortality rate (37.6%) in layer chicks, caused by infectious bursal disease (IBD), which were double vaccinated with Nobilis® Gumboro D-78 vaccines (Intervet) that indicates vaccination failure against IBD which deserve further investigation. Cost-benefit analysis of the outcome of the 10 layer chick rearing units varied, and it was found loss in one unit (-3.17%) and the highest profit of 45.66% with an average profit of 27.33%. However, profit was recorded in all the layer chick rearing units except one unit due to outbreak of IBD which could be due to vaccination failure. Therefore, it may be concluded from the results of this study that the 'layer chick rearer package programme' could be economically prospective for the alleviation of poverty of rural people if the major infectious diseases of poultry are controlled in Bangladesh.

Key words: Layer chicks, mortality, economic impact, management system

#### Introduction

Poverty, malnutrition, ill-health and illiteracy are the main features of the rural people in Bangladesh. Commercial poultry farming for egg and meat production is an efficient way to bridge this nutritional gap in a faster rate than by other livestock sources. Accordingly, poultry rearing have become a growing and perspective industry in Bangladesh to alleviate poverty by generating employment opportunity as well as by encouraging unemployment youths to take up this type of farming as a means of self-employment. The Participatory Livestock Development Project (PLDP) has considered different activities of the beneficiaries as a package programme and provided assistance to group members for their adoption and income generation. 'Chick rearer' is one of the groups of beneficiaries identified for the project who buys some 300 high-yielding variety day-old layer chicks from government hatcheries or from mini hatcheries and raise the chicks in confinement. These chicks are sold at the age of 8 weeks (the duration to raise a batch) to key rearers who rear them for egg production. There seems to be no published reports on the evaluation of performance of the chick rearing units of the PLDP, and this paper describes the economic impact and prospect

of this chick rearer package programme of the PLDP to provide guidance to those who wish undertaken such chick rearer programme.

# **Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted at 10 different chick rearing units in the Participatory Livestock Development Project (PLDP) area of Muktagacha in the district of Mymensingh during the period from August to December 2002. In the chick rearing units, day-old chicks of Fayoumi breed, purchased from Govt. Poultry Farm, Mirpur, Dhaka, were reared up to the age of 8 weeks and then marketed to the key rearers who rear them for egg production. Therefore, this experiment included the observations of each unit from day-old up to marketing of 8 week-old chicks. The management system of these chick rearing units and the disease problems or conditions were recorded in detail by visiting them at regular intervals and from the respective owner of the unit in a prescribed form. Besides, flock size, name of the hatchery from which day-old chicks were purchased, rearing system, immunization records, types of supplied feeds, name of the feed additives and vitamin-mineral premix, date of outbreak occurred, number of dead birds and treatment

Islam and Samad: Management and disease problems with cost-benefit analysis of the layer chick

Table 1: Management practices of the different layer chick rearing units of Participatory Livestock Development Project (PLDP) area of Muktagacha in Mymensingh district

| Unit | No. of | Housing & Feeding <sup>1-5</sup>   | Floor           | Litter              | Footbath |  |
|------|--------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| No.  | chicks |                                    | space           |                     | with     |  |
|      | reared |                                    | (sq. ft / bird) |                     | PPM (%)  |  |
| 1.   | 300    | Intensive, straw roof, brick floor | 0.5 - 1         | Saw dust, 3" depth  | 0.01     |  |
| 2.   | 300    | Earthen ground floor               | 0.5 - 1         | Saw dust, 3" depth  | 0.01     |  |
| 3.   | 300    | Intensive, straw roof, brick floor | 0.5 - 1         | Saw dust, 3" depth  | 0.01     |  |
| 4.   | 300    | Intensive, straw roof, brick floor | 0.5 - 1.25      | Rice husk, 4" depth | 0.01     |  |
| 5.   | 300    | Intensive, straw roof, brick floor | 0.5 - 1.25      | Rice husk, 4" depth | 0.01*    |  |
| 6.   | 300    | Intensive, straw roof, brick floor | 0.5 - 1.25      | Saw dust, 3" depth  | 0.01     |  |
| 7.   | 300    | Intensive, straw roof, brick floor | 0.5 - 1.25      | Rice husk, 3" depth | 0.01     |  |
| 8.   | 400    | Intensive, straw roof, brick floor | 0.5 - 1.25      | Rice husk, 4' depth | 0.01     |  |
| 9.   | 300    | Intensive, straw roof, brick floor | 0.5 - 1.25      | Saw dust, 3" depth  | 0.01     |  |
| 10.  | 300    | Intensive, straw roof, brick floor | 0.5 - 1.25      | Saw dust, 3" depth  | 0.01     |  |

<sup>1</sup>Each of house of the chick rearing units was disinfected with Iosan® (Novartis) spray @ 5 ml/liter of water and fumigated with PPM and formalin @ 40g and 80 ml/100 cft respectively. <sup>2</sup>Brooding of all the chick rearing units was performed with plane sheet chick guard and two bulbs (100 watts). <sup>3</sup>Lighting of all the chick rearing units was provided throughout the 24 hours period during the experiment. <sup>4</sup>Feeding with coarse flour of wheat and crushed rice for 1st 3 days then with hand mixed (open-market) feed in all the units. No coccidiostat was used in the Units No. 4 and 6. <sup>5</sup>Adequate fresh tubewell water was supplied *ad libitum* in all the chick rearing units.

\*1st 15 days footbath was not used.

measures if taken etc. were also recorded. The dead and moribund chicks were collected and brought to the laboratory at the Department of Medicine, BAU, Mymensingh for diagnosis. Diagnosis of each of the disease/condition was based on the history (provided by the respective owner of the chick rearing units), clinical findings, characteristic gross lesions and finally laboratory examination of the samples. Necropsy examination was performed as soon as the dead birds were collected and gross tissue changes were observed and recorded carefully. Diagnoses of certain diseases were made on these recorded characteristic lesions as described by Calnek et al. (1997). Costbenefit analysis of each chick rearing unit was made after completion of marketing by following conventional methods.

## **Results and Discussion**

The thorough investigation of the 10 layer chick rearing units has identified a number of risk factors and diseases associated with mortality of chicks up to 8 weeks of age. The management systems of the randomly selected 10 chick rearing units are presented in Table 1.

The diseases and conditions associated with mortality in chicks and vaccination schedule performed in the 10 chick rearing units are shown in Table 2. Vaccines against Ranikhet disease (BCRDV®, LRI and RDV®, LRI), Gumboro disease (Gumboro D-78®, Intervet) and Fowlpox (FPV®, LRI) have been used to control these diseases in the chick rearing units.

The disease profile was studied in each of the 10 chick rearing units which counting of 3100 chicks, of which

399 (12.87%) chicks died up to 60 days of age of rearing period (Table 2). Of the 399 dead chicks, 150 (37.60%) died due to infectious bursal disease (IBD), 13.28% due to coccidiosis, 13.28% due to chronic respiratory disease (CRD) and 35.84% due to miscellaneous etiology (Table 2). Age-wise incidence of diseases in chicks along with proportionate mortality rates are presented in Table 3. Although the occurrence of CRD was recorded in all age groups except 29 to 42 days-old groups of chickens up to 60 days of age but IBD and coccidiosis were recorded only at the age between 29 to 42 days-old groups (Table 3).

Among the viral diseases, only infectious bursal disease (IBD) was detected as the most common prevalent malady. The IBD was found to be responsible for death of 150 (50%) layer chicks in unit no. 5 at the age group between 29 to 42 days. The overall proportionate mortality rate of chicks due to IBD was found 37.6% (Table 2 and 3). This finding of high mortality among layer chicks supports the recent inland reports where IBD causing 28.57% mortality in cockerels (Sil et al., 2002), 19.16% mortality (Talha et al., 2001), 7.2 to 16.73% mortality (Rahman et al., 1996) and 10.99% mortality (Bhattacharjee et al., 1996) in chickens. This observation also supports the earlier report of Hongjib et al. (1996) and Pramanik and Bhattacharya (1987) who reported 27.3 and 21.95% mortality in chickens due to IBD respectively but contradict with 9.1% mortality reported by Anjaneyulu et al. (1998) in comparison to 37.6% recorded in this study. The IBD was found to be appeared at the age of 33 days, although, these chicks were vaccinated with Gumboro D-78® (Intervet) at 12 (primary) and 28th (booster) days of age. In this unit, the

Islam and Samad: Management and disease problems with cost-benefit analysis of the layer chick

Table 2: Vaccination schedule and occurrence of diseases in layer chicks reared in different poultry model components in PLDP area of Muktagacha in Mymensingh district

| Unit<br>No. | No. of chicks | Immunized<br>with | Age at<br>∨accination | Route | Diseases associated with mortality (%) |        |             |         | Total |       |
|-------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------|--|--------|-------------|---------|-------|-------|
|             |               | ANICLI            | (days)                |       | CRD                                    | IBD    | Coccidiosis | Others* | No.   | %     |
| 1.          | 300           | BCRDV             | 4 & 20                | 10    | 39                                     | -      | -           | 3       | 42    | 14.00 |
|             |               | Gumboro-D-78®     | 12 & 24               | 10    | (13.0)                                 |        |             | (1.0)   |       |       |
| 2.          | 300           | BCRDV             | 5                     | 10    | -                                      | -      | -           | 6       | 06    | 02.00 |
|             |               | Gumboro-D-78®     | 10 & 28               | 10    |  |        |             | (2.0)   |       |       |
| 3.          | 300           | BCRDV             | 4 & 21                | Ю     | -                                      | -      | -           | 15      | 15    | 05.00 |
|             |               | Gumboro-D-78®     | 11 & 25               | Ю     |  |        |             | (5.0)   |       |       |
|             |               | FPV               | 33                    | WWP   |  |        |             |         |       |       |
| 4.          | 300           | BCRDV             | 5 & 22                | Ю     | -                                      | -      | 14          | 11      | 25    | 08.33 |
|             |               | Gumboro-D-78®     | 11 & 25               | Ю     |  |        | (4.67)      | (3.67)  |       |       |
|             |               | FPV               | 45                    | WWP   |  |        |             |         |       |       |
|             |               | RDV               | 57                    | IM    |  |        |             |         |       |       |
| 5.          | 300           | BCRDV             | 4 & 23                | Ю     | -                                      | 150    | -           | 19      | 169   | 56.33 |
|             |               | Gumboro-D-78®     | 12 & 28               | Ю     |  | (50.0) |             | (6.33)  |       |       |
| 6.          | 300           | BCRDV             | 4 & 21                | Ю     | -                                      | -      | 39          | 6       | 45    | 15.00 |
|             |               | Gumboro-D-78®     | 12 & 26               | 10    |  |        | (13.0)      | (2.0)   |       |       |
| 7.          | 300           | BCRDV             | 4 & 23                | 10    | -                                      | -      | -           | 12      | 12    | 04.00 |
|             |               | Gumboro-D-78®     | 13 & 27               | 10    |  |        |             | (4.0)   |       |       |
| 8.          | 400           | BCRDV             | 3                     | Ю     | 14                                     | -      | -           | 31      | 45    | 11.25 |
|             |               | Gumboro-D-78®     | 14 & 27               | Ю     | (3.5)                                  |        |             | (7.75)  |       |       |
| 9.          | 300           | BCRDV             | 8 & 27                | Ю     | -                                      | -      | -           | 30      | 30    | 10.00 |
|             |               | Gumboro-D-78®     | 13 & 30               | Ю     |  |        |             | (10.0)  |       |       |
|             |               | FPV               | 41                    | WWP   |  |        |             |         |       |       |
| 10.         | 300           | BCRDV             | 4 & 24                | Ю     | -                                      | -      | -           | 10      | 10    | 03.33 |
|             |               | Gumboro-D-78®     | 11 & 27               | Ю     |  |        |             | (3.33)  |       |       |
|             |               | FPV               | 33                    | WWP   |  |        |             | •       |       |       |
| Total       | 3100          | -                 | -                     | -     | 53                                     | 150    | 53          | 143     | 399   | 12.87 |
|             |               |                   |                       |       | (13.28)                                | (37.6) | (13.28)     | (35.84) |       |       |

\*Extreme cold stress, sinking in waterer, killing by rat, ammonia intoxication, etc. IBD = Infectious bursal disease, CRD = Chronic respiratory disease, BCRDV = Baby Chick Ranikhet Disease Vaccine (LRI, Mohakhali, Dhaka), RDV= Ranikhet Disease Vaccine (LRI, Mohakhali, Dhaka), FPV= Fowl pox vaccine (LRI, Mohakhali, Dhaka), Gumboro D-78® = Infectious bursal disease vaccine (Intervet), IO= Intraocular, WWP= Wing web pricking, IM= Intramuscular.

vaccination was done in cold weather and before vaccination, vitamin-mineral premixes were not supplied to the chicks. Gumboro vaccine was done at the age of 12 and 28th days of age, which was not unexpected. So, it may be assumed that this malady was due to the problem in the vaccine with high maternal antibody of the chicks. It is noted that footbath of PPM (0.01%) was not used in that unit which might contribute as a supportive cause in the outbreak of the disease. Bhattacharjee et al. (1996) recorded highest mortality of chickens due to infectious bursal disease (10.99%) diagnosed at the Central Disease Investigation Laboratory, Dhaka, whereas Rahman et al. (1996) recorded 7.2 to 16.73% mortality due to IBD in the Central Poultry Farm at Mirpur, Dhaka. Talha et al. (2001) and Sil et al. (2002) recorded 19.16 and 28.57% mortality of chickens respectively due to this malady. The present finding indicates that IBD infection has re-emerged with enhanced virulence in our country and causes highest mortality of chickens. The clinical signs and gross lesions noted in IBD were similar to those described by Talha et al. (2001) and Sil et al. (2002).

Coccidiosis, the only recorded protozoal disease was

found to cause an outbreak in chicks at age group of 29 to 42 days (Table 3). The coccidiosis was found to be responsible for death of 53 (13.28%) layer chicks among which 14 (4.67%) chicks from unit no. 4 and 39 (13.0%) from unit no. 6 (Table 2). The overall proportionate mortality rate of chicks due to coccidiosis was 13.28%. This observation supports the earlier reports of Sarker (1976); Ahmed (1977); Anjum (1990) and Bhattacharjee et al. (1996) who reported 12, 20, 15 and 9.4% mortality respectively but contradict with 26.27, 55.55, 5.51 and 0.8% mortality reported by Babu et al. (1974); Rai et al. (1989); Talha et al. (2001) and Sil et al. (2002) respectively in comparison to 13.28% recorded in this study. The present study showed an increase in incidence of coccidiosis. It is necessary to mention here that no coccidiostat was used in the feed in units no. 4 and 6. Litter was not changed up to 57 days of age in these two units also. The disease was manifested by typical clinical signs and gross pathological lesions as described by Babu et al. (1976); Samad and Chakraborty (1993); Talha et al. (2001) and Sil et al. (2002).

In this present study, chronic respiratory disease (CRD) was found to be responsible for death of 53 (13.28%)

Table 3: Age-wise mortality in layer chicks ( n = 3100 ) caused by different diseases

| S/N | Age of chickens<br>(days) | Causes of mortality (%) |             |            |             |             |  |  |
|-----|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
|     | (uayo)                    | IBD                     | Coccidiosis | CRD        | Others*     | Total       |  |  |
| 1.  | 0-7                       | -                       | -           | 07         | 29          | 36 (9.02)   |  |  |
| 2.  | 8-14                      | -                       | -           | 14         | 44          | 58 (14.54)  |  |  |
| 3.  | 15-28                     | _                       | -           | 22         | 16          | 38 (9.52)   |  |  |
| 4.  | 29-42                     | 150                     | 53          | -          | 05          | 208 (52.13) |  |  |
| 5.  | 43-60                     | -                       | -           | 10         | 49          | 59 (14.79)  |  |  |
|     | Total                     | 150 (37.6)              | 53 (13.28)  | 53 (13.28) | 143 (35.84) | 399 (12.87) |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Extreme cold stress, killing by rat, sinking in waterer, ammonia intoxication, etc., IBD = Infectious bursal disease, CRD = Chronic respiratory disease.

Table 4: Cost-benefit analysis of the poultry model components in PLDP area of Muktagacha in the district of Mymensingh

|             | iviymens         | singn                                      |                            |                                |                     |         |                  |                        |             |
|-------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Unit<br>No. | No. of<br>chicks | Investment (Taka)                          |                            |                                |                     |         | Total            | Total                  | + Profit /  |
|             | GHICKS           | Total price of day-old chicks <sup>1</sup> | Feed<br>costs <sup>2</sup> | Vaccines,<br>Premix<br>& Drugs | Others <sup>3</sup> | Total   | income*<br>(Tk.) | profit /<br>loss (Tk.) | - loss (%)  |
| 1.          | 300              | 2640                                       | 5930                       | 470                            | 100                 | 9140    | 12262            | 3122                   | +34.16      |
| 2.          | 300              | 2640                                       | 6012.50                    | 595                            | 100                 | 9347.50 | 13567.50         | 4220                   | +45.66      |
| 3.          | 300              | 2640                                       | 4581                       | 875                            | 125                 | 8221    | 11301            | 3080                   | +37.47      |
| 4.          | 300              | 2640                                       | 7478                       | 568                            | 410                 | 11096   | 13182            | 2086                   | +18.80      |
| 5.          | 300              | 2640                                       | 3829                       | 450                            | 100                 | 7289    | 7058             | -231                   | -03.17      |
| 6.          | 300              | 2640                                       | 4751                       | 965                            | 150                 | 8506    | 12000            | 3494                   | +41.08      |
| 7.          | 300              | 2640                                       | 5758                       | 702                            | 100                 | 9200    | 11420            | 2220                   | +24.13      |
| 8.          | 400              | 3520                                       | 8121                       | 668                            | 100                 | 12409   | 14910            | 2501                   | +20.15      |
| 9.          | 300              | 2640                                       | 5239                       | 698                            | 140                 | 8717    | 10109            | 1392                   | +15.97      |
| 10.         | 300              | 2640                                       | 4045                       | 400                            | 100                 | 7195    | 10040            | 2845                   | +39.54      |
| Total       | 3100             | 27280                                      | 55744.5                    | 6391                           | 1425                | 91120.5 | 115849.5         | 24729                  | Av. = 27.33 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>@ Tk. 8/chick & including insurance costs (10%), <sup>2</sup>@ Tk. 8-10/ kg feed (Hand mixed-Open market), <sup>3</sup>Including litter, bulbs, electric bill etc, \*Obtained from sale, Av. = Average.

layer chicks in more or less all age groups, of which 39 (13%) were died from unit no. 1 and 14 (3.5%) from unit No. 8 (Table 2). This finding supports the earlier reports of Byrne and Lowndes (1975) and Talha *et al.* (2001) who reported 3 and 11.56% mortality of chicks from chronic respiratory disease but contradict with 1.7% mortality reported by Balani (1983). The disease was observed more in chicks of age group between 8 to 14, 15 to 28 and 43 to 60 days (Table 3). The clinical signs and gross pathological lesions were found similar to those described by Yoder (1984); Chauhan and Roy (2000) and Talha *et al.* (2001).

Death due to extreme cold stress, killing by rat, sinking in waterer and ammonia intoxication were observed in 81 (56.64%), 49 (34.27%), 5 (3.5%) and 8 (5.59%) cases, out of 143 other conditions. These results could not be compared due to lack of similar reports in the available literatures.

The cost-benefit analysis of the 10 randomly selected chick rearing units is presented in Table 4.

It appears from this Table 4 that highest percentage of profit over a period of 2 months was recorded in the units no. 2, 6, 10 and 3 that were 45.66, 41.08, 39.54 and 37.47%. There was no outbreak of any disease in the

units No. 2, 3 and 10. Although in the unit No. 6, there was an outbreak of coccidiosis, the Insurance Company compensated the losses. In the unit No. 5, there was a loss (-3.17%) due to outbreak of IBD. Overall, all the chick rearing units showed more or less profit except the unit no. 5 due to outbreak of IBD.

It indicates from this study that various disease problems are still present in the layer chicks and the patterns of occurrence of various diseases have changed in the present days. Infectious bursal disease should get priority in the prevention and control strategies in future. Mass immunization with proper vaccines in consideration with maternally derived antibodies and maintenance of appropriate cold-chain system is necessary to prevent the most devastating disease, infectious bursal disease. Therefore, the chick rearer package programme of the PLDP could be an economically beneficiary and prospective programme if the mortality of chicks is controlled.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Smallholder Livestock Development Project-2 (SLDP-2) authorities for

providing financial grant to conduct this study. The scientific advice of Dr. J. Bell, Senior Advisor, SLDP-2, and constant encouragement of Prof. M. U. Ahmed, Head, Department of Medicine, BAU, Mymensingh is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

- Ahmed, H.N., 1977. Incidence and control of poultry diseases in Libya Arab Republic. Agri. Res. Rev., 55: 115-121
- Anjaneyulu, Y., N.S. Babu and R.M. James, 1998. Mortality pattern in broilers in Prakasam district, India. Ind. J. Vet. Pathol., 22: 44-46.
- Anjum, A.D., 1990. Prevalence of poultry diseases in and around Faisalabad and their relationship to weather. Pak. Vet. J., 10: 42-45.
- Babu, K.H.J., S.J. Seshadri and S. Mohiyuddin, 1974. Study of mortality pattern in poultry. Ind. Vet. J., 51: 424-435
- Babu, K.H.J., S.J. Seshadri and S. Mohiyuddin, 1976. Studies on the pathology of field cases of coccidiosis in poultry. Ind. Vet. J., 53: 47-54.
- Balani, D.K., 1983. Incidences of various poultry diseases in Rohtak and Sonepat districts (Haryana), India. Poult. Adv., 16: 21-33.
- Bhattacharjee, P.S., R.L. Kundu, R.K. Biswas, J.U. Mazumder, E. Hossain and A.H. Miah, 1996. A retrospective analysis of chicken diseases diagnosed at the Central Disease Investigation laboratory, Dhaka. Bangl. Vet. J., 30: 105-113.
- Byrne, P.J. and K.M. Lowndes, 1975. Avian diseases diagnosed in Ireland. Vet. Serv. Bull., 5: 3-14.
- Calnek, B.W., H.J. Barnes, C.W. Beard, L.R. McDougald and Y.M. Saif, 1997. Diseases of Poultry. Iowa State University Press, Ames, USA.

- Chauhan, H.V.S. and S. Roy, 2000. Poultry Diseases, Diagnosis and Treatment. New Age International (P) Limited, New Delhi, India.
- Hongjib, K., K. Munil and C. Unik, 1996. Survey of enteric diseases in chickens. Korean J. Vet. Res., 36: 1007-1012.
- Pramanik, A.K. and H.M. Bhattacharya, 1987. Diseases of poultry in three districts of West Bengal affecting rural economy. Ind. J. Vet. Med., 7: 63-65.
- Rahman, M.M., A. Rahman, A.H.M.N. Islam, A.H. Miah, J.U. Mazumder and P.S. Bhattacharjee, 1996. Observation on outbreaks and subsequent control of infectious bursal disease in the Central Poultry Farm in Bangladesh. Bangl. Vet. J., 30: 13-17.
- Rai, R.B., N.G. Poddar, R.N. Pal and V. Nagarajan, 1989. Mortality patterns and incidence of poultry diseases in Andamans. Ind. J. Poult. Sci., 24: 8-11.
- Samad, M.A. and S.R. Chakraborty, 1993. Chemotherapeutic management of acute outbreaks of caecal coccidiosis in broiler birds in Bangl. J. Protozool. Res., 3: 140-143.
- Sarker, A.J., 1976. The prevalence of avian diseases in Bangladesh Agricultural University Poultry Farm, Mymensingh. Bangl. Vet. J., 10: 61-66.
- Sil, G.C., P.M. Das, M.R. Islam and M.M. Rahman, 2002. Management and Disease Problems of Cockerels in Some Farms of Mymensingh, Bangladesh. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 1: 102-105.
- Talha, A.F.S.M., M.M. Hossain, E.H. Chowdhury, A.S.M. Bari, M.R. Islam and P.M. Das, 2001. Poultry diseases occurring in Mymensingh district of Bangladesh. Bangl. Vet., 18: 20-23.
- Yoder, H.W. Jr., 1984. Mycoplasma gallisepticum infection. *In*: Hofstad , M.S. (Ed.), Diseases of Poultry. Iowa State University Press, Iowa, U.S.A., p: 195