ISSN 1682-8356 ansinet.org/ijps



POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSImet

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com

Effect of Pre-incubation Storage of Hatching Eggs on Subsequent Post-hatch Growth Performance and Carcass Quality of Broilers

A.A. Alsobayel and S.S. AL-Miman

Department of Animal Production, College of Food and Agricultural Sciences,

King Saud University, P.O. Box 2460, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Abstract: The study was conducted to assess the effect of eggs pre-incubation storage duration on posthatch growth performance and carcass quality of broilers hatched from eggs purchased from local commercial hatchery in Riyadh area. A total of three groups of 80 one day-old, Ross meat-type chicks were used in the study. The chicks were hatched from eggs that were stored for either 1, 7 or 14 days and were randomly divided into four replicates of twenty birds, group weighed and assigned to 4 pens (1.5 m x 1 m) bedded with red sand as litter in an environmentally controlled house and grown under standard management practices. Birds in each replicate were weekly group weighed and weekly feed intake and daily mortality were recorded. Measurements were made of body weight gain, growth rate, feed intake and feed conversion at different age periods. At 5 weeks of age, 3 males and 3 females were randomly selected from each replicate, individually weighed and slaughtered after they have been fasted for 12 h and were inspected for breast blisters and foot pad lesions. Measurements were made of bird live weight, carcass weight and carcass percentage of live weight, abdominal fat weight, heart, liver and gizzard weights and their percentages of carcass weight. Results of the studies revealed that broilers produced from one day stored eggs had significantly (p<0.05) better growth performance which was reflected in higher body and carcass weights, less feed intake and better feed conversion compared with those of chicks produced from eggs stored for 7 or more days. Pre-incubation storage of hatching eggs for 7 days or more had an adverse effect on broilers' performance, however, the adverse effect was more pronounced at early age periods and with prolonged pre-incubation storage of hatching eggs.

Key words: Storage, hatching eggs, broiler, growth performance, carcass quality

INTRODUCTION

Storage of hatching eggs is an indispensable part of hatchery operation, even though storage length and conditions may influence embryonic viability. Brake et al. (1997) reported that the effect of egg storage on embryonic viability depend on storage time duration, environmental conditions, hen age and strain of breeder. Several investigators suggested that decrease in embryonic viability may be due to changes in embryo or in albumen physical aspects of the egg (Meijerhof, 1994; Lapao et al., 1999; Tona et al., 2004). However, Arora and Kosin (1966a); Mather and Laughlin (1979) reported that pre-incubation egg storage leads to morphological changes in blastoderm and malformations in the embryo with increased cell necrosis as indicated by Arora and Kosin (1966b). Egg weight has been reported to have a major effect on chick weight (Bray and Iton, 1974; Wilson, 1991; Tona et al., 2004). However, very sparse informations have been published concerning the effect pre-incubation storage duration on post-hatch broiler performance and carcass quality. Abdou et al. (1999) stored hatching eggs from 0-15 days and found

that prolonged storage of hatching eggs for more than 7 days under ordinary room conditions in the tropics negatively affected the post-hatching growth performance of the chicks. The same authors, however, reported that the adverse effect disappeared with increasing age of the chicks. Tona *et al.* (2004) found that chicks hatched from eggs stored for 7 days at 15°C and 70% RH had significantly (p<0.05) higher body weight at 1-5 weeks of age compared to chicks hatched from non-stored eggs but their weights did not differ at hatch and at 6 weeks of age. The study was conducted to assess the effect of eggs pre-incubation storage duration on post-hatch performance and carcass quality of broilers hatched from eggs purchased from local commercial hatchery in Riyadh area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of three groups of 80 one day-old, Ross meat-type chicks were used in the study. The chicks were hatched from eggs that were stored for either 1, 7 or 14 days and purchased from local commercial hatchery in Riyadh area. The chicks in each group were randomly divided

into four replicates of twenty birds, group weighed and randomly assigned to 4 pens (1.5 m x 1 m) bedded with red sand litter in an environmentally controlled house and grown under standard management practices. Birds were fed a starter diet to 2 weeks, followed by finisher diet to 5 weeks of age which were purchased from Arabian Agricultural Services Company (ARASCO) and contain 21.5 and 18.5% crude protein and 2950 and 3100 Kcal/kg metabolizable energy (Table 1), respectively. Birds were exposed to light 24 h a day with light intensity of 2.1, 0.71 and 0.39 foot candle for the first week, second week and the last three weeks, respectively. Birds in each replicate were group weighed again at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 weeks of age and weekly feed intake and daily mortality were recorded. Measurements were made of body weight gain, growth rate, feed intake and feed conversion ratio from day one to 2, 3, 4 and 5 weeks and from 2-3, 4 and 5 weeks of age. At 5 weeks of age, 3 males and 3 females were randomly selected from each replicate, individually weighed and slaughtered after they have been fasted for 12 h and inspected for breast blisters and foot pad lesions. Measurements were made of bird live weight, carcass weight and its percentage of live weight, abdominal fat weight, heart, liver and gizzard weights and their percentages of carcass weight. Data obtained were subjected to statistical analysis using the General Linear Models procedures of SAS Institute (1998) using the following statistical model:

$$Y_{iik} = \mu + B_i + S_i + BS_{ii} + e_{iik}$$

Where Yijk is the kth observation of the ith storage period (B), jth sex (S). BS_{ij} is the interaction between storage period and sex. μ is the general mean and e_{ijk} is the random error associated with Y_{ijk} observation. Percentages of carcass, abdominal, liver, heart and gizzard weights were transformed to arc sin $\sqrt{}$ proportion prior to statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pre-incubation egg storage had a significant (p<0.05) effect on body weight at all ages studied except at 3 and 5 weeks of age and only at 0-2, 0-4 and 2-4 weeks of age for body weight gain (Table 2). However feed intake and conversion were significantly (p<0.05) affected by pre-incubation egg storage at all age periods studied except at 0-2, 0-3 and 2-3 for feed intake and at 2-3 for feed conversion (Table 3). With respect to carcass quality, pre-incubation egg storage had a significant (p<0.05) effect on live weight of slaughtered birds and weights of all studied traits except heart and gizzard weights (Table 4) and only on carcass percentage of live weight (Table 5). Sex had a significant (p<0.05) effect only on carcass and abdominal fat percentages (Table 5) and weights of all studied traits except heart and abdominal fat weights

Table 1: Composition and calculated analysis of diets used in the experiment

Ingredients Starter* Finisher Unit Metabolizable energy 2900 3000 Kcal/kg Crude protein 21.0 18.5 % Min. Crude fat 2.5 3.0 Fiber 3.0 3.5 % Max. Calcium 1.0 0.9 % Max. Available phosphorus 0.42 0.4 % Max. Sodium 0.15 0.15 % Max. Lysine 1.20 1.00 % Max. Lysine 1.20 1.00 % Max. Methionine 0.50 0.45 % Max. Methionine 0.85 0.85 % Max. Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit D 5000 5000 Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2				
Crude protein 21.0 18.5 % Min. Crude fat 2.5 3.0 Fiber 3.0 3.5 % Max. Calcium 1.0 0.9 % Max. Available phosphorus 0.42 0.4 % Max. Sodium 0.15 0.15 % Max. Lysine 1.20 1.00 % Max. Methionine 0.50 0.45 % Max. Wit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit B 0.85 0.85 % Max. Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg <tr< td=""><td>Ingredients</td><td>Starter*</td><td>Finisher</td><td>Unit</td></tr<>	Ingredients	Starter*	Finisher	Unit
Crude fat 2.5 3.0 Fiber 3.0 3.5 % Max. Calcium 1.0 0.9 % Max. Available phosphorus 0.42 0.4 % Max. Sodium 0.15 0.15 % Max. Lysine 1.20 1.00 % Max. Methionine 0.50 0.45 % Max. Meth + Cystine 0.85 0.85 % Max. Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit D 5000 5000 Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit C 100 100 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Vit B1 0.2 0.2 </td <td>Metabolizable energy</td> <td>2900</td> <td>3000</td> <td>Kcal/kg</td>	Metabolizable energy	2900	3000	Kcal/kg
Fiber 3.0 3.5 % Max. Calcium 1.0 0.9 % Max. Available phosphorus 0.42 0.4 % Max. Sodium 0.15 0.15 % Max. Lysine 1.20 1.00 % Max. Methionine 0.50 0.45 % Max. Meth + Cystine 0.85 0.85 % Max. Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit D 5000 5000 Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit C 100 100 Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 <	Crude protein	21.0	18.5	% Min.
Calcium 1.0 0.9 % Max. Available phosphorus 0.42 0.4 % Max. Sodium 0.15 0.15 % Max. Lysine 1.20 1.00 % Max. Methionine 0.50 0.45 % Max. Meth + Cystine 0.85 0.85 % Max. Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit D 5000 5000 Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit C 100 100 Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B10 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2	Crude fat	2.5	3.0	
Available phosphorus 0.42 0.4 % Max. Sodium 0.15 0.15 % Max. Lysine 1.20 1.00 % Max. Methionine 0.50 0.45 % Max. Meth + Cystine 0.85 0.85 % Max. Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit D 5000 5000 Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit C 100 100 Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 <	Fiber	3.0	3.5	% Max.
Sodium 0.15 0.15 % Max. Lysine 1.20 1.00 % Max. Methionine 0.50 0.45 % Max. Meth + Cystine 0.85 0.85 % Max. Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit D 5000 5000 Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit C 100 100 Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cooper 8 8	Calcium	1.0	0.9	% Max.
Lysine 1.20 1.00 % Max. Methionine 0.50 0.45 % Max. Meth + Cystine 0.85 0.85 % Max. Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit D 5000 5000 Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit C 100 100 Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2	Available phosphorus	0.42	0.4	% Max.
Methionine 0.50 0.45 % Max. Meth + Cystine 0.85 0.85 % Max. Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit D 5000 5000 Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit C 100 100 Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iodine 2 2 <t< td=""><td>Sodium</td><td>0.15</td><td>0.15</td><td>% Max.</td></t<>	Sodium	0.15	0.15	% Max.
Meth + Cystine 0.85 0.85 % Max. Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit D 5000 5000 Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit C 100 100 Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Image: Imag	Lysine	1.20	1.00	% Max.
Vit A 12000 12000 IU/Kg Vit D 5000 5000 Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit C 100 100 Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium	Methionine	0.50	0.45	% Max.
Vit D 5000 5000	Meth + Cystine	0.85	0.85	% Max.
Vit E 60 60 mg/Kg Vit C 100 100 Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Vit A	12000	12000	IU/Kg
Vit C 100 100 Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Vit D	5000	5000	
Vit K 4 4 Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Vit E	60	60	mg/Kg
Vit B1 3 3 Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Vit C	100	100	
Vit B2 8 8 Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Vit K	4	4	
Vit B6 5 5 Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Vit B1	3	3	
Vit B12 0.03 0.03 Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Vit B2	8	8	
Niacin 40 40 mg/Kg Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Vit B6	5	5	
Pantothenic acid 15 15 Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Vit B12	0.03	0.03	
Folic acid 2 2 Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 8 Iodine 2 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Niacin	40	40	mg/Kg
Biotin (vit H) 0.2 0.2 Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Pantothenic acid	15	15	
Choline (added) 900 900 Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Folic acid	2	2	
Cobalt 0.5 0.5 Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Biotin (∨it H)	0.2	0.2	
Cooper 8 8 Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Choline (added)	900	900	
Iodine 2 2 Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Cobalt	0.5	0.5	
Iron 35 35 Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Cooper	8	8	
Manganese 90 90 Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	lodine	2	2	
Selenium 0.2 0.2 Zinc 70 70	Iron	35	35	
Zinc 70 70	Manganese	90	90	
	Selenium	0.2	0.2	
Antioxidant 125 125	Zinc	70	70	
	Antioxidant	125	125	

Ingredient: Cereals, Soya bean meal, Vegetable oil, Amino Acids, Vitamins and Minerals. *30% of copper, manganese, zinc and selenium as Bioplex minerals coccidiostat (Avatec/Cygro)

(Table 4). The interaction effect between sex and preincubation storage was not significant on all studied traits (Table 4 and 5). On the other hand there was no mortality recorded for the different experimental groups and no breast blisters and foot pad lesions were noticed in the slaughtered birds.

As shown in Table 1 chicks hatched from one day stored eggs had significantly (p<0.05) higher average weight at hatch, 1,2.4 weeks of age and tended to have the highest weight at 3 and 5 weeks of age compared to chicks hatched from eggs stored for 7 and 14 days. However chicks hatched from eggs stored for 14 days tended to have lower average weight at early ages but tended to have higher weight at 3, 4 and 5 weeks of age than those hatched from eggs stored for 7 days. The same table shows that chicks hatched from one day stored eggs had significantly (p<0.05) higher body weight gain at 0-2, 0-4 and 2-4 weeks of age and tended to have higher body weight gain at all other age periods compared with chicks hatched from eggs stored for 7 and 14 days. On the other hand, chicks hatched from eggs stored for 14 days had significantly (p<0.05) lower

Table 2: Effect of pre-incubation egg storage duration on post-hatch broilers' body weight and body weight gain

	Body weight									
Age (weeks)	0		 1 2		3	4		5		
Storage period (days)	**	*		** NS	* 1264.04°		NS			
01	51.00 ^A	142.0	0° 334.25 ^A				722.25	1742.59		
07 48.06 ⁸ 130.		16 ^b 323.92 ^A		623.02 10	104	9.39 ^b	1532.52 1644.53			
14	47.97 ⁸ 124.5		3b 291.66B		682.19	1110.32 ^b				
SEM	±0.078	±2.3	331	±4.815	±28.645	±2	9.174	±41.126		
	Body wei	ght gain								
Age period (weeks)	0-1	0-2	0-3	0-4	0-5	2-3	2-4	2-5		
Storage period (days)	NS	**	NS	*	NS	NS	*	NS		
01	91.00	283.25 ^A	671.25	1213.04°	1691.59	388.00	929.79°	1408.34		
07	82.19	275.86 ^A	574.97	1001.34b	1484.46	299.10	725.47 ^b	1208.60		
14	76.48	243.69 ⁸	634.22	1062.23b	1596.56	390.53	818.66 ^b	1352.88		
SEM	±2.375	±4.806	±28.710	±29.192	±41.156	±28.489	±26.394	±38.271		
*Significant (p<0.05).	**Highly significant (p<0.01). NS = Non S						= Non Significa	nt.		

*Significant (p<0.05). **Highly significant (p<0.01). Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05)

Table 3: Effect of pre-incubation egg storage duration on post-hatch broilers' feed intake and feed conversion

	Feed intake									
Age period (weeks)	0-1	0-2	0-3	0-4	0-5	2-3	2-4	2-5		
Storage period (days)	*	NS	NS	*	**	NS	**	**		
01	153.00ab	503.00	1196.38	2117.74 ^{ab}	2961.37 ⁸	693.38	1614.74 ^A	2458.37 ⁸		
07	142.52b	538.56	1138.42	1926.77 ^b	2807.22 ⁸	599.86	1388.21 ⁸	2268.668		
14	162.50°	537.50	1399.66	2230.78°	3396.25 ^A	862.16	1693.28 ^A	2858.75 ^A		
SEM	±2.336	±13.833	±46.915	±43.176	±66.363	±41.307	±33.226	±59.129		
	Feed cor	nversion								
Age period (weeks)	0-1	0-2	0-3	0-4	0-5	2-3	2-4	2-5		
Storage period (days)	**	*	**	**	*	NS	**	*		
01	1.69 ⁸	1.78 ^b	1.79 ⁸	1.75 ^c	1.77 ^b	1.79	1.74°	1.76b		
07	1.87 ^A	1.95 ^{ab}	1.98 ⁸	1.93⁵	1.88b	2.01	1.92 ⁸	1.88ªb		
14	1.98 ^A	2.21°	2.24 ^A	2.10 ^A	2.12ª	2.31	2.07 ^A	2.11ª		
SEM	±0.338	±0.052	±0.051	±0.023	±0.046	±0.093	±0.027	±0.051		

*Significant (p<0.05)

**Highly significant (p<0.01)

NS = Non Significant

Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05)

body weight gain from hatch to 2 and tended to have lower body weight gain from hatch to 1 week of age but tended to have higher body weight gain at all other age periods than chicks hatched from eggs stored for seven days. The results indicate that pre-incubation egg storage for 7 and 14 days had an adverse effect on body weight and body weight gain. The adverse effect, however, was more pronounced at early ages. Our results partially agrees with that of Abdou et al. (1999) and Tona et al. (2004). As it is shown in Table 3, chicks hatched from eggs stored for 14 days consumed significantly (p<0.05) more feed from 0-5 and 2-5 weeks of age and also tended to consume higher amount of feed during all other age periods than those hatched from one day stored eggs. Chicks hatched from eggs stored for 7 days significantly (p<0.05) consumed less feed at 2-4 weeks of age and tended to consume less feed most of the age periods compared with chicks hatched from one day or 14 days stored eggs (Table 3). The same table showed that chicks hatched from one

day stored eggs had significantly (p<0.05) better feed conversion than those hatched from eggs stored for seven days at 0-1, 0-4 and 2-4 weeks of age and for 14 days at all age periods except at 2-3 weeks of age. On the other hand, chicks hatched from eggs stored for 7 days had significantly (p<0.05) better feed conversion at 0-3, 0-4 and 2-4 weeks of age than those hatched from eggs stored for 14 days. Table 4 indicates that live weight of slaughtered birds hatched from one day stored eggs was significantly (p<0.05) higher and had higher carcass, liver and abdominal fat weights than those hatched from eggs stored for 7 and 14 days which have statistically similar weights (Table 4). Females had significantly (p<0.05) lower live, carcass, liver and gizzard weights than males but had statistically similar heart and abdominal fat weight (Table 4). However females and chicks hatched from eggs stored for 7 days had higher carcass percentage than males and chick hatched from one day stored eggs, respectively. From the results reported herein, we conclude that, broilers

Table 4: Effect of pre-incubation egg storage duration on broilers' Live (LBW), Carcass (CW), Liver (LW), Heart (HW), Gizzard (GW) and Abdominal Fat (AFW) weights at 5 weeks of age

	,					
	LBW	cw	LW	HW	GW	AFW
Storage period in days (B)	**	**	*	NS	NS	*
01	1850.21 ^A	1262.13 ^A	34.92°	11.79	31.33	21.83ª
07	1651.67 ⁸	1177.71 ⁸	31.67⁵	11.17	30.71	18.04b
14	1649.79 ⁸	1147.33 ⁸	31.92 ^b	11.13	29.42	17.96⁵
Sex (S)	**	**	**	NS	*	NS
Females	1592.92⁵	1123.08 ⁸	30.69⁵	10.83	29.31⁵	19.36
Males	1841.53 ^A	1268.36 ^A	34.97 ^A	11.89	31.67°	19.19
Bx S	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
SEM	±16.247	±10.473	±0.561	±0.330	±0.572	±0.656

*Significant (p<0.05).

**Highly significant (p<0.01).

NS = Non significant,

Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05)

Table 5: Effect of pre-incubation egg storage duration on broilers' Carcass Percentage (CP) of Live Body Weight (LBW), Liver (LP), Heart (HP), Gizzard (GP) and Abdominal Fat (AFP) percentages of Carcass Weight (CW) at 5 weeks of age

	CP (%)	LP (%)	HP (%)	GP (%)	AFP (%)
Storage period in days (B)	**	NS	NS	NS	NS
00	68.46 ⁸	2.76	0.94	2.49	1.73
07	71.38 ^A	2.70	0.95	2.63	1.55
14	69.67 ^{AB}	2.79	0.97	2.57	1.57
Sex (S)	*	NS	NS	NS	*
Females	70.58°	2.74	0.97	2.62	1.72a
Males	69.10 ^b	2.76	0.94	2.51	1.51⁵
Bx S	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
SEM	±0.003	±0.0002	±0.0003	±0.0004	±0.001

*Significant (p<0.05)

**Highly significant (p<0.01)

NS = Non Significant

Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05)

produced from one day stored hatching eggs had in general better growth performance which was reflected in higher body and carcass weights, less feed intake and better feed conversion than chicks produced from eggs stored for 7 or 14 days. Preincubation of hatching eggs for 7 days or more had an adverse effect on broilers' performance. The adverse effect, however, was more pronounced at early age periods and with prolonged pre-incubation storage of hatching eggs.

REFERENCES

- Abdou, F.H., A.M. Katule and O.S. Suki, 1999. Effect of the pre-incubation storage period of hatching eggs on the hatchability and post-hatching growth of local chickens under tropical conditions. Beitr. Trop. Landwirtsch Veterinarmed, 28: 337-343.
- Arora, K.L. and I.L. Kosin, 1966a. Changes in the gross morphological appearance of chicken and Turkey blastoderms during preincubation storage. Poult. Sci., 45: 819-825.
- Arora, K.L. and I.L. Kosin, 1966b. Development of early responses of early Turkey and chickens embryos to preincubation holding of eggs inter- and intraspecies difference. Poult. Sci., 45: 958-970.
- Brake, J., T.J. Walsh, C.E. Benton, J.N. Petite Jr., R. Meijerhof and G. Penalva, 1997. Egg handling and storage. Poult. Sci., 76: 144-151.
- Bray, D.F. and E.L. Iton, 1974. The effect of egg weight on strain differences in embryonic and post embryonic growth in the domestic fowl. Br. Poult. Sci., 15: 175-187.

- Lapao, C., L.T. Gama and M.C. Soares, 1999. Effects of broiler breeder age and length of egg storage on albumen characteristics and hatchability. Poult. Sci., 78: 640-645.
- Mather, C.M. and K.F. Laughlin, 1979. Storage of hatching eggs: The interaction between parental age and early embryonic development. Br. Poult. Sci., 20: 595-604.
- Meijerhof, R., 1994. Theoretical and empirical studies on temperature and moisture loss of hatching eggs during the pre-incubation period. Ph.D., Dissertation, University of Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- SAS Institute, 1998. SAS User's Guide: Version 5 Edn., SAS institute NC, Cary, NC.
- Tona, K., O. Onagbesan, B. De Ketelaere, E. Decuypere and V. Bruggeman, 2004. Effect of age of broiler breeders and egg storage on egg quality, hatchability, chick quality, chick weight and chick posthatch growth to forty-two days. J. Appl. Poult. Res., 13: 10-12.
- Tona, K., F. Bamelis, B. De Ketelaere, V. Bruggeman, V.M.B. Moraes, J. Buyse, O. Onagbesan and E. Decuypere, 2003. Effect of egg storage time on spread of hatch, chick quality and chick juvenile growth. Poult. Sci., 82: 736-741.
- Wilson, H.R., 1991. Interrelationship of egg size, chick size, post-hatching growth and hatchability. World's Poult. Sci. J., 47: 5-20.