ISSN 1682-8356 ansinet.org/ijps



POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSImet

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com

Effect of Feeding Low Protein Diets on the Performance of Broiler During Hot-Humid Season

Muhammad Siddiqur Rahman, ¹ Md. Ahsan Habib Pramanik, ¹ Biplob Basak, ² Sharif Uddin Tarafdar, and ¹ Sanjib Kumar Biswas ¹ Department of Poultry Science, ² Department of Dairy Science Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

Abstract: An experiment was conducted with 180 randomly selected 7th day old ISA I 757 chicks at Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. Four different treatments were considered containing 23, 21, 19, and 17% crude protein respectively. Body weights varied significantly (P<0.01) at 8 weeks of age were 1396.03,1358.01,1270.26 and 1175.95 g. Feed conversion ratio also varied (P<0.01) at the end of the experimental period which were 2.34, 2.44,2.67 and 2.89 for T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ respectively. Feed consumption, survivability and dressing percent did not vary significantly all over the experimental period. Considering the above result, it may be concluded that 21% crude protein in the diet may be suitable for hot-humid season.

Key words: Low protein diet, hot-humid season, broiler, body weight and FCR

Introduction

Poultry meat and egg contribute approximately 37% of the total animal protein supplied in the country (Ahmed and Islam, 1990). There is great possibility of growth and expansion of this sector both at domestic and commercial level. It provides a large part of increasing demand for animal protein, side by side it is the source of income and can create employment opportunities for the people.

The consumption of animal protein is only 9.56 g per day per person as against the standard requirement of 36.0 g in Bangladesh which can't meet minimum requirement of the vital function of the body for which a great majority of the people of Bangladesh are suffering from malnutrition (BBS, 1995). It is suspected that the scarcity of supply of protein feed stuff and its high price in the market is the main cause.

In poultry production, the feed alone accounts about 65-70% of the total cost of production (Bhuiyan, 1998). Protein cost account about 15% of feed cost of production (Banerjee, 1992; Singh, 1990). From the economic point of view, the poultry should be supplied with cheaper feed to get maximum return with minimum cost. Feed storage is a major constraint of poultry farming in Bangladesh. It is better to give attention to formulate an economic poultry diet using the feed ingredients collected from country origin. In order to bridge the protein gap in poultry industry, research has been going on to minimize protein requirement.

Bangladesh is a tropical country and during the summer environmental temperature is increased about 40 °C (Nasim, 1993). The high environmental temperature is not suitable for broilers and sometimes become more harmful during hot-humid environmental condition. Dale (1985) suggested that increase dietary protein at high ambient temperature is not beneficial to broilers. The higher heat increment from increased protein intake out of high protein diet giving suffering to the birds already suffering at high temperature.

The profit of poultry farming mainly depends on economic feeding of balanced ration. The chronic scarcity, high cost and adulteration of animal and plant protein supplements particularly fish meal, oil seeds meal and cake have increased interest to seek alternative sources of protein sources for feeding of poultry.

Performance of broiler is reduced during "Heat stress" both in terms of body weight and feed efficiency (Suzuki et al., 1983; Austic, 1985). The cause of the performance decline I under studying numerous laboratories and factor that have been cited include feed intake reduction (Austic, 1985) chances in gastrointestinal micro flora (Suzuki et al., 1983) and decline n essential amino acid digestibility including that of methionine. Increasing nutrient density has not been observed to improve the performance of "Heat stress" birds (Adams et al., 1962).

Yamazaki *et al.* (1996) showed the excretion of nitrogen increased

at protein level increased. Cowan and Michie (1978) showed that increased concentration of protein apparently does not reduce the growth rate depression of broiler raised at 26-31 °C.

In view of the above facts the present study was conducted to test the effect of feeding low protein diet in the hot-humid season with the following objectives:

- To assess the effect of feeding low protein diets on the performance of broilers in terms of body weight gain, feed consumption, feed efficiency and survivability.
- To investigate whether formulation of rations containing low protein during hot-humid season under Bangladesh condition is feasible.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the Bangladesh Agricultural University poultry farm, Mymensingh, for a period of seven weeks. The research was carried out to study the effect of different dietary protein levels on the body weight gain, feed consumption, mortality and cost of production of broiler chicks in hot-humid season.

Collection of experimental birds: One hundred eight randomly selected 7th day old ISA I 757 chicks were collected from "Poultry Development Project" under the department of Poultry Science, BAU, Mymensingh.

Lay out of the experiment: The chicks were allocated randomly to four dietary treatments having three replications in each treatments.

Preparation of house: The experimental houses were cleaned properly in every nock and corner including floor and wall spraying water with the help of hosepipe. Then disinfected through Phenyl solution followed losan and left for a week. Before placement of chicks the house was fumigated by formalin and potassium permanganate. After proper drying the house are divided into 12 separate pens of equal size.

Ration used: According to the lay out ration was supplied for different treatments. The Table 2 showed the composition of ration and nutrient composition.

Management: Identical care and management were taken for all the treatment groups during experimental period including feed and water supply, feeder and water space, litter management, lighting, immunization, medication etc. Data recorded against the parameters were body weight, feed consumption, survivability, temperature and humidity and dressing percentage also recorded. Considering the recorded data production cost were calculated.

Table 1: The lay out of the experiment

Treatments	Age of birds	Number of birds in each replication			Total No. of birds	Treatment ration used in the experiment	
		R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	· 		
T ₁	7days	15	15	15	45	23% protein and ME 2919 kcal/kg diet considered as control.	
T_2	7days	15	15	15	45	21% protein and ME 2919 kcal/kg diet.	
T ₃	7days	15	15	15	45	19% protein and ME 2919 kcal/kg diet.	
T_4	7days	15	15	15	45	17% protein and ME 2919 kcal/kg diet.	

Table 2: Composition of ration and nutrients

Ingredients	Treatments	5			
	T ₁	T ₂	 Т ₃	T ₄	
Maize	54	55	57	58.5	
Rice polish	7	10	12	15	
Soybean meal	15	16	16	14	
Sesame meal	7	7	7	6	
Meat and bone meal	2	3	2	2	
Protein concentrate (LNB)	8	5	3	2	
Vegetable protein concentrate	5	2	1	0	
Di-calcium phosphate	1.5	1.5	1.5	2	
Vitamin-mineral premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Common salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Total	100	100	100	100	
Nutrient composition					
ME Kcal/kg	2919	2913	2925	2939	
CP%	23(22.7)	21(20.6)	19(18.8)	17(16.9)	
Ca%	1.45	1.21	0.94	0.96	
Av. P.	0.70	0.65	0.57	0.60	
Lysine%	1.30	1.14	0.99	0.90	
Methionine%	0.46	0.45	0.41	0.40	

Table 3: Average weekly cumulative live weight (g/bird) in different dietary treatments

Age in week	Treatments	Treatments							
	T1	T2	T3	T4	and level of significance				
2	155.56	164.44	158.33	153.33	5.08NS				
3	280.00	268.89	284.44	253.33	15.97NS				
4	448.88	432.22	437.78	386.46	20.67NS				
5	583.33	579.37	575.55	580.95	23.98NS				
6	738.65	760.39	719.48	723.33	54.35NS				
7	1133.33	1033.33	1035.22	1055.55	46.82NS				
8	1396.03a	1358.01ab	1270.26bc	1175.19c	111.19**				

^{** =} Highly significant (P < 0.01); NS = Non-significant; The figures in a row having the similar result do not differ significantly.

Statistical analysis: All the recorded and calculated data were analyzed for ANOVA (Steel and Torrie, 1980) using a Completely Randomized Block Design (CRD) with the help of computer packaged program MSTAT. Least Significant Differences (LSD) was calculated to compare the variations between the treatments were ANOVA showed significant differences. The dressing yield parameters were converted to the percentage of their respective body weights for statistical analysis.

Results and Discussion:

Live weight: The live weight of broilers did not vary significantly during 2-7 weeks of age which was supported the result showed by Pesti and Fletchner (1984) but varied significantly (P<0.01) only on 8 weeks of age. Mahapatra et al. (1984) drown the similar comment; they said diet had no significant effect on live weight at slaughter. On the other hand Babu et al. (1986) found that live weight were significantly greater with 24 and 22% protein than 20%. During hot-humid season higher dietary level of protein become harmful for broiler and bird suffered (Dale, 1985) but, low protein diet do not create such suffering for birds, that is why birds feels easy if it is not very much low which do not satisfy the optimum requirement. In this experiment, control diet (23% CP) and the diet containing 21% CP gave the best body weight at 8

weeks of age. Again, the diet containing 21 and 19% CP did not differ significantly but diet containing 19% CP varied significantly from the control diet. The results of body weight were shown in Table 3.

Feed consumption: Feed consumption did not differed significantly during the whole experimental period (Table 4). Similar result were found by Babu *et al.* (1986) who conducted an experiment with 22, 23 and 24% CP content diets did not show significant difference among the them. Though the cumulative feed consumption was not significant the treatment groups T_3 and T_4 consumed relatively more feed than the other treatments and this was probably lack protein in the diet of T_3 and T_4 treatments. To meet up the requirement the birds of T_3 and T_4 treatments consumed more feed than other two treatments. Similar conclusion was made by Al-Rabdawi and Singh (1989), who concluded that protein levels influenced feed consumption. Lee *et al.* (1990) also commented that feed intake increased when

Lee et al. (1990) also commented that feed intake increased when dietary protein increased. Other factor may also influence on feed consumption, which may be environmental temperature. The birds which were comparative larger in body weight suffered more. Wilson and Wilboro (1948) reported that feed consumption was lowered by high environmental temperature. In this experiment

Table 4: Average weekly cumulative feed consumption (g/bird) of broiler at different weeks of age

Age in week	Treatments				SED(LSD) value and
	T1	T2	 ТЗ	T4	level of significance
2	106.66	107.77	96.66	92.00	8.78NS
3	352.22	366.66	381.11	376.44	13.59NS
4	799.83	766.66	841.11	842.22	32.17NS
5	1344.60	1326.15	1350.00	1383.11	28.84NS
6	1876.71	1852.19	1848.73	1878.66	61.69NS
7	2484.16	2484.64	2506.18	2458.11	72.44NS
8	3063.17	3075.91	3086.33	3081.98	73.20NS

Table 5: Weekly Feed Conversion Ratio of broiler at different dietary treatments

Age in week	Treatments				SED(LSD) value and level of significance
	T1	Т2	Т3	T4	
2	2.12	1.6	1.76	1.97	0.29NS
3	2.08	2.23	2.13	2.46	0.20NS
4	2.19b	2.55a	2.57a	2.81a	0.35*
5	2.75	2.85	2.93	2.80	0.11NS
6	2.80	2.87	3.09	3.05	0.18NS
7	2.36b	2.66a	2.21a	2.66a	0.24*
8	2.34b	2.44b	2.67ab	2.98a	0.35 * *

^{** =} Highly significant (P<0.01); * = Significant (P<0.05); NS = Non-significant;

The figures in a row having the similar result do not differ significantly.

the birds of T_1 and T_2 treatment was higher in body weight (Table 3) and suffered more with the environmental temperature as experiment was carried out during hot-humid season. During hot-humid season the birds consume more water and feed consumption was decreased (Wilson and Wilboro, 1948).

Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR): Feed conversion ratios in the present experiment differed significantly at 4th (P<0.05), 7th (P<0.05) and 8^{th} (P<0.01)) weeks of age. At 8^{th} weeks of age T_1 , T_2 and T_3 were statistically similar but only T_4 differed significantly (P<0.01) with other treatments (Table 5). In this experiment good feed conversion were observed with increasing protein level and this result was supported by the result obtained by Kassim and Suwanpradit (1996). The diet containing 23% CP offered for control group and other diet contained less than 22%. The group which offered 17-21% CP, resulted lower feed efficiency than the control group and the result was similar with the comment downed by Nigra and Sethi (1993) who said feed efficiency was best with the birds providing 22-24% CP. On the other study Multani et al. (1993) concluded that 22 or 24% protein showed in summer better feed conversion efficiency and Harigis and Creger (1980) who got higher protein efficiency utilizing higher protein.

Survivability: The survivability percentage was presented in the Table 6 and the result did not differed significantly among the treatments. Lin Jeng Yong and Jenn Chung (1995) carried out in experiment and showed the dietary protein level and feed intake had no effect on mortality. The mortality, which occurred insignificant and caused by other than dietary protein effect. Jahan (2000) and Khatun (2000) reported that there were no significant differences in survivability for broiler birds at different dietary treatments.

Dressing characteristics: Dressing percentage did not differ significantly among the treatment, sexes and there were no interaction effects (Table 7). As the body weight (Table 3) differed only at the 8th week of age but at other weeks it was almost identical. Probably that is why; the dressing percentage did not differ significantly. Lee *et al.* (1990) and Mahapatra *et al.* (1986) also observed the similar result; they said the diet containing different protein level had no significant difference on eviscerated carcass weight.

Except dressing, other dressing character which studied were percentage of blood weight, feather weight, shank weight, head

weight, heart weight, viscera weight, liver weight, gizzard weight and abdominal fat. Among those most of them did not differ significantly (Table 7). Kassim and Suwanpradit (1996) reported the similar result, they reported drumstick and thigh weight did not influenced by the protein level.

Nigra et al. (1993) also drew similar comments and said that protein content had significant effect on feed cost. Again Lee et al. (1990) also reported that diet containing 20% CP during starter period gave the least cost per kg body weight of broiler. In the present experiment the profit was highest in the treatment T_2 which were fed with 21% CP. Highest profit was obtained in the T_2 because the body weight (Table 3) and sale per broiler were not significant differed between T_1 and T_2 group but the feed cost per broiler differed significantly and that is why, the total cost per broiler differed significantly.

Conclusion: An experiment was conducted with 180 seven day-old straight run ISA I 757 broiler chicks reared up to 56 days of age at Bangladesh Agricultural University Poultry Farm, Mymensingh to investigate the effect of low protein diet on the performance of broiler during hot-humid season. The experimental birds were allocated to four dietary treatments each with three replication having 15 birds per replication. Diet was formulated having 23, 21, 19 and 17% CP were considered as T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , and T_4 respectively. Here diet containing 23% CP considered as control. Feed and fresh water was given ad-libitum through out the whole period. Body weight, feed consumption, feed conversion ratio, survivability, profit and dressing yield characteristics were recorded. At 56 days of age broilers were dissected to compare dressing characteristics among the dietary treatments.

At 56 days of age, the body weight of broiler on T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , and T_4 were 1396.03, 1358.01, 1270.26 and 1175.95 g respectively. Body weight of birds showed highest for the diet containing 23% CP but it was also almost similar to 21% CP containing treatments. Feed consumption at different treatments was almost similar to each other i.e. 3063.17, 3075.91, 3086.33 and 3081.94

Rahman et al.: Effect of Feeding Low Protein Diets on the Performance of Broiler

Table 6: Survivability percentage of broiler at different dietary treatments

Age in week	Treatments				SED(LSD) value and level of significance
	T1	T2	ТЗ	T4	level of significance
2	100	100	100	100	0.00NS
3	100	100	100	100	0.00NS
4	100	100	100	97.77	1.11NS
5	100	97.77	100	97.77	1.57NS
6	97.77	97.77	97.77	97.77	2.22NS
7	97.77	97.77	95.55	97.77	2.94NS
8	97.77	97.77	95.55	97.77	2.94NS

^{** =} Highly significant (P<0.01); * = Significant (P<0.05); NS = Non-significant;

The figures in a row having the similar result do not differ significantly.

Table 7: Meat yield traits of male and female broilers of different dietary treatments

Variable	Sex	Treatmen	ts				SED (LSD)	and level of	significance
		T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	Mean	Т	s	TxS
Dressing %	М	69.07	68.77	68.26	64.86	67.74	3.50NS	2.47NS	4.95NS
•	F	68.98	66.85	70.31	64.66	67.70			
	Mean	69.02	67.80	69.28	64.76				
Blood weight%	М	4.15	3.52	3.16	3.91b	3.68	0.457NS	(0.685)**	(1.37)**
	F	3.88	3.90	5.95	4.80	4.63			
	Mean	4.10	3.71	4.55	4.36				
Feather weight%	М	4.56	6.48	6.69	5.20	5.73	(2.224)	0.457NS	1.48NS
_	F	4.44	5.46	9.91	4.63	6.11			
	Mean	4.50	5.97b	8.30a	4.91b				
Shank weight%	М	4.86	4.71	4.87	5.31a	4.93	0.23NS	(0.345)	0.325NS
	F	4.38	4.37	4.20	4.32b	4.32			
	Mean	4.62	4.54	4.53	4.81				
Head weight%	М	3.29	3.06	3.30	3.89	3.38	0.315NS	0.222NS	0.445NS
	F	3.07	3.64	3.01	2.85	3.14			
	Mean	3.08	3.35	3.15	3.37				
Heart weight%	М	0.65	0.58	0.53	0.50	0.57	(0.154)*	0.051NS	0.103NS
	F	0.61	0.72	0.42	0.48	0.56			
	Mean	0.63ab	0.65a	0.47c	0.49bc				
Viscera weight%	M	8.38	7.65	7.56	9.45	8.26	0.96NS	0.679NS	1.35NS
	F	8.24	9.67	6.50	8.14	8.14			
	Mean	8.31	8.66	7.06	8.79				
Liver weight %	М	2.57	2.34	2.04	2.46	2.35	0.143NS	0.101NS	(0.431)
	F	1.96	2.54	2.26	2.42	2.29			
	Mean	2.26	2.44	2.15	2.44				
Gizzard weight%	М	2.45	2.70	2.43	2.58	2.54	0.194NS	0.137NS	0.274NS
	F	2.59	2.84	2.26	2.29	2.49			
	Mean	2.52	2.77	2.34	2.43				
Abdominal fat weight%	М	0.72	0.83	0.54	1.16	0.81	0.304NS	0.215NS	0.430NS
	F	1.01	0.97	0.84	0.90	0.93			
	Mean	0.86	0.90	0.69	1.03				

^{** =} Highly significant (P<0.01); *= Significant (P<0.05); NS = Non-significant;

The figures in a row having the similar result do not differ significantly.

Table 8: Cost of production of different treatments

Item of cost (Tk. / bird)	Treatments	SED(LSD) value and level of significance			
	T1	Т2	T3	T4	
Diet containing protein %	23	21	19	17	
Chick cost	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	NS
Feed cost per broiler	40.31a	35.87b	33.45c	31.14c	2.39**
Total cost per broiler	61.77a	57.33b	34.91c	52.60c	2.39**
Total sale per broiler	83.76a	81.48ab	76.22bc	70.56c	7.22**
Profit	21.99a	24.15a	21.31a	17.96b	4.92*

NS = Non-significant;

The figures in a row having the similar result do not differ significantly.

g respectively. Feed conversion ratio had significant difference at 4, 7 and 8 weeks of age of broiler. It is observed that control group had better FCR than the other treatment groups at 4 and 7 weeks of age. At 8 weeks of age FCR did not varied significantly. Survivability of the birds among the treatments was satisfactory

and was insignificant. All most all the dressing characteristics did not vary statistically. Though the dressing percentage did not vary but T1, T2 and T3 showed the higher value than the T4 treatment. Total cost of production was significantly highest for T1 and chronologically lower for the other treatment due to low content

of CP. But considering profitability the T_2 showed the more profit that the others treatment, though the T_1 group profit did not vary significantly with T_2 treatment.

Considering the above facts, the following conclusion may drawn.

- Low protein (17-19% CP) cannot satisfy the requirement and show bad effect on the performance of broiler.
- For hot-humid season, slightly less protein diet show s better result.
- For Bangladesh, during hot-humid season diet containing 21% CP may be advised. But for final recommendation this type of experiment should be repeated during several seasons of the year.

References

- Adams, R. L. Andrews, E. E. Gardiner, W. E. Fontaine and C. W. Carrck, 1962. The effect of environmental temperature on growth and nutritional requirements of chick. Poult. Sc., 41: 588-594
- Ahmed, S. and N. Islam, 1990. Backyard poultry development project in 100 villages. Proceedings of the 1st conference of Bangladesh Animal Husbandry Association. Feb. 23-24, 1985. Bangladesh Agri. Res. Council, Dhaka, Bangladesh, pp: 133-138.
- Al-Ribdawi, Y. and R. A. Singh, 1989. Effect of protein level and stocking density on broiler performance in different seasons. Indian J. Poult. Sci., 38: 607-610.
- Austic, R. E., 1985. Feeding Poultry in hot and cold climates, pp: 123-136.
- Babu, M., V. Sundararasu and S. Kothandaraman, 1986. Studies on energy and protein requirements of broiler chicken. Indian J. Poult. Sci., 26: 275-279.
- Banerjee, G. C., 1992. Poultry (3rd edition). Oxford and IBH publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delli, pp: 168-172.
- BBS., 1995. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Ministry of Planning, Government of the people republic of Bangladesh.
- Bhuiyan, M. Z., 1998. Complete replacement of fish meal by full fat soybean and supplementation of lysine and Metheonine to broilers. M. S. Thesis, Department of Poultry Science, BAU, Mymensingh.
- Cowan, P. J. and Michie, 1978. Environmental temperature and broiler performance. The use diets containing increasing amount of proteins. Br. Poult. Sci., 19: 601-605.
- Dale, N. M., 1985. Why broiler grow slowly at high temperature. Poultry misset. December, pp: 24-27.
- Harigis, P. H. and C. R. Creger, 1980. Effect of varying dietary protein and energy level on growth rate and body fat on broilers. Poult. Sci., 59: 1479-1504.

- Jahan, M. S., 2000. Performance of broiler feed on Mash, Pellet and crumble. M. S. Thesis, Department of Poultry Science, BAU, Mymensingh.
- Kassim, H. and S. Suwanpradit, 1996. The effect of dietary protein levels on the carcass composition of starter and grower broiler. Asian-Autalasian J. Anim. Sci., 9: 211-226.
- Khatun, R., 2000. Replacement of fishmeal by silkworm pupae in broiler diet. M. S. Thesis, Department of Poultry Science, BAU, Mymensingh.
- Lee, S. J., S. S. Kim, K. H. Lee and C. H. Kwack, 1990. Effect of dietary protein level on broiler performanc. Research reports of the rural development administration, Livestock, 32: 28-34.
- Lin Jeng Young and Jenn Chung, 1995. Effect of feed restriction and dietary protein levels on the growth and development of Taiwan country pullets. J. Chinese Soc. of Anim. sci., 24: 257-272.
- Mahapatra, C. M., N. K. Pandey and S. S. Verma, 1984. Effect of diet, strain and sex on the carcass yield and meat quality of broilers. Indian J. Poult. Sci., 19: 236-240.
- Multani, A. S., G. S. Makkar and J. S. Ichhponani, 1993. Influence of energy, protein and season on the performance of broiler rearing battery brooders. Indian J. Poult. Sci., 28: 124-126.
- Nigra, S. and A. P. S. Sethi, 1993. Energy and protein requirements of commercial broiler in hot-humid climate. Indian J. Anim. Sci., 63: 761-766.
- Nasim, A. H., 1993. Feathering rate and broiler performance in hot-humid environment. M. S. Thesis, Department of Poultry Science, BAU, Mymensingh.
- Pesti, G. M. and D. A. Fletchner, 1984. The response of male broiler chickens diets with various protein contents during the growing and finishinf phases. Br. Poult. Sci., 25: 415-423.
- Singh, R. A., 1990. Poultry Production, 3rd edition. Kalyany Publishers, New Delhi, Ludhiana.
- Steel, G. D. and J. H. Torrie, 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Bool Company, INC, New York, Toronto, London.
- Suzuki, K., R. Harasawa, Y. Yoshitake and T. Mitsuoka, 1983. Effects of crowding and stress of intestinal flora, body weight gain and feed efficiency of growing rates and chicks. Japanese J. Vet. Sci., 45:331-338.
- Wilson, S. and O. Wilboro, 1948. Some effect of increasing environmental temperature on pullets. Poult. Sci., 27: 813-817.
- Yamazaki, M., A. Murakami, M. Yamazaki and M. Takemsa, 1996. reduction of nitrogen excreted from broiler chicks feeding lowprotein, amino acid supplemented diets. Japanese Poult. Sci., 33: 249-255.